

This book can be purchased [here](#). A summary is presented below.

Fundamentals of Ground Improvement Engineering

Jeffrey Evans, P.E. D.GE, PhD, Daniel Ruffing, P.E., D.GE., and David Elton, P.E., PhD

Author Bios

Dr Jeffrey Evans is Professor Emeritus of Bucknell University. He earned his BS, MS and PhD in Civil Engineering from Clarkson, Purdue, and Lehigh, respectively. In England, he has been a visiting academic at the University of Cambridge and University of Nottingham. His experience includes over 10 years as a consultant with Woodward-Clyde Consultants (now AECOM) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Reserves, where he served as a 2nd and 1st Lieutenant and Captain.

Mr Daniel Ruffing is Vice President at Geo-Solutions, Inc., located near Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. He earned his BS and MS in Civil and Environmental Engineering from Bucknell University. His experience includes nearly 15 years of design and construction on geoenvironmental components of projects, mainly focusing on the construction of slurry trenches, soil mixing, jet grouting, and grouting, all techniques that Geo-Solutions specializes and excels in. He has also worked in general environmental remediation and other more general construction.

Dr David Elton is Professor Emeritus of Auburn University. He earned his BS, MS and PhD in Civil Engineering from Clarkson, Utah State, and Purdue, respectively. His 30 years of research and teaching specialized in geotechnical and geosynthetics engineering. A former President of the North American Geosynthetics Society, he is an ASCE Fellow, has won teaching awards, research awards, authored two books (one an ASCE best-seller) and over 50 refereed papers. He is known world-over as the ‘Soils Magician.’

List of Chapters

Chapter 1 – Introduction

Chapter 2 – Geotechnical Principles of Ground Improvement

Chapter 3 – Geosynthetic Principles of Ground Improvement

Chapter 4 – Compaction

Chapter 5 – Consolidation

Chapter 6 – Soil Mixing

Chapter 7 – Grouting

Chapter 8 – Slurry Trenching

Chapter 9 – Geosynthetics in Ground Improvement

Chapter 10 – Geosynthetic Reinforcement

Chapter 11 – Other Techniques – Jet Grouting, Ground Freezing, Secant Pile Walls, Compaction Grouting, Explosives

Chapter 12 – Future Techniques for Ground Improvement

Chapter 1 Introduction to Ground Improvement Engineering (figures not included)

1.1. Introduction

Ground modification in the constructed environment is not a new idea. For instance, the method of wattle and daub has been used for thousands of years to provide tensile reinforcement to clayey materials in buildings. The process of adding straw to clay and baking it in the sun improved the strength properties of the clay creating a building material that has been used for thousands of years. In another ancient application, the Romans used timber as a base layer for roads. In modern times, inclusions (such as geogrids and geotextiles) are commonly employed for ground improvement. Similarly, the addition of lime to clay (a chemical admixture in modern terminology) has long been used to create a weak binder in stone foundations. The Roman road, via Appia, now in modern day Italy, is the earliest known example of the use of lime in ground improvement engineering (Berechman 2003).

The terms *ground improvement*, *ground modification*, and similar terms are lexicon of the late 20th century. The first conference on the subject was “Placement and Improvement of Soil to Support Structures” and was held in Cambridge, Massachusetts, in 1968, sponsored by the Division of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE 1968). The first comprehensive textbook on the subject was by Hausmann (1991). University courses on the subject began about the same time. In many ways, ground improvement engineering is a relatively new field within geotechnical engineering. New developments are occurring at a rapid pace and no doubt will have occurred throughout the life of this book. Thus, this book focuses on fundamentals, enabling the user to understand and adapt to the latest ground improvement developments.

How might ground modification/improvement be defined? In the Proceedings on the Conference on Soil Improvement (ASCE 1978), the introduction succinctly states that one of the alternatives available when poor soil conditions are encountered is “treat the soil to improve its properties.” Moseley and Kirsch (2004) in the second edition of their book, *Ground Improvement*, note that “All ground improvement techniques seek to improve those soil characteristics that match the desired results of a project, such as an increase in density and shear strength to aid problems of stability, the reduction of soil compressibility, influencing permeability to reduce and control groundwater flow or to increase the rate of consolidation, or to improve soil homogeneity.” Shaefer et al. (2017) define ground modification as “... the alteration of site foundation conditions or project earth structures to provide better performance under design and/or operational loading conditions.” For the purposes of this book, ground improvement is defined as application of construction means and methods to improve the properties of soil.

Note that some improvements are of the first order. For example, compaction will increase the density of a soil. However, density increases can lead to second order effects such as increased strength and reduced compressibility. Finally, these second order improvements can result third order effects such as increased bearing capacity and reduced settlement and/or improved liquefaction resistance. By beginning with the fundamentals of ground improvement engineering, the text is designed to provide an understanding of both the fundamental first order effects as well as those second and third order effects that are often the actual desired outcome of the application of ground improvement. As there are many definitions of ground improvement and further much gray area within each definition, the authors used this definition as a guide to define the scope of this book.

Finally, for the purposes of the selection of the content in this book, the authors use the term ground improvement rather than ground modification. Ground modification is a neutral term meaning the modification could either improve or worsen the ground whereas ground improvement is unambiguous.

Prior to in depth study of ground improvement, what are alternatives to ground improvement? Imagine a site where the subsurface conditions are not suitable for the anticipated project. While ground improvement is the option to be considered in detail in this book, what are the alternatives? Some common alternatives to the application of ground improvement include:

- **Avoid the site or area:** There are many circumstances where the owner/developer has options regarding the location of the proposed facility and finding an alternative site or a different area of the same site is a viable option.
- **Remove and replace:** If the unsuitable materials are limited in aerial and/or vertical extent, the best (and most economical) option may be to simply excavate the unsuitable soils and replace them with more suitable materials having more predictable properties, such as crushed stone. This is a commonly chosen alternative when localized fill is encountered.
- **Transfer load to deeper strata:** The use of deep foundations, such as piles or drilled shafts, has long been the option of choice in locations where unsuitable bearing materials are present near the ground surface. Deep foundations affect load transfer through the use of stiff structural members placed between the structure and competent bearing materials found at deeper depths. Although significantly more sophisticated today, this technique has existed for centuries with ample evidence including ancient Roman bridges supported on timber piles.
- **Design structure accordingly:** Some sites and structures, in combination, may lend themselves to structural redesign to accommodate the site conditions. For instance, it may be possible to stiffen the structure to redistribute stresses within the structure and minimize differential movement. In a specific application, sinkhole prone areas such as solution prone geologic settings, grade beams can be used to connect spread footings in order to redistribute loads in case of loss of support beneath any single footing. Likewise, structures can incorporate construction joints, allowing some differential settlement without causing distress.

1.2 Improvements in Soil Behavior

Ground improvement may be viewed from the perspective of system performance. For example, it may be necessary to improve the ground to increase the allowable bearing value of a footing supported on the soils beneath a structure. From the system perspective, ground improvement alternatives would be evaluated for their ability to increase bearing capacity and decrease settlement, i.e. increase the allowable bearing value. More precisely, the allowable bearing value can be increased by:

1. Increasing the stiffness of the soil (decreases settlement)
2. Increasing the shear strength of the soil (increases bearing capacity)
3. Decreasing soil property variability (decreases differential settlement).

Densifying granular materials or consolidating cohesive materials can increase soil strength and stiffness.

Using these definitions, there are many ways ground improvement can be viewed. For the purposes of understanding ground improvement, this text will focus on a fundamental understanding

of the interactions between ground improvement techniques and the resulting changes in soil and/or soil system behavior. This text also provides insight into the means and methods used by contractors to implement ground improvement techniques with most of the chapters and information segmented by construction techniques.

In this chapter, it is useful to articulate the improvements in soil behavior that may result from the ground improvement methods employed. These fundamental soil behavior characteristics include shear strength, compressibility, hydraulic conductivity, liquefaction potential, shrink and swell behavior, and reduction in variability in any of the aforementioned behavioral characteristics. Details of soil behavior principles related to ground improvement are provided in Chapter 2.

1.2.1 Shear strength

Shear strength is a fundamental engineering property of soils that can be increased through the application of numerous ground improvement techniques. Shear strength is a measure of the soils ability to resist failure under the application of a load that induces shear stresses in the soil. Shear strength can be increased through ground improvement techniques that decrease the void ratio (Chapters 4, 5, and 11), and/or adding a cohesive (cementing) component (Chapter 6 and 7). There are many applications that benefit from improved shear strength including increased bearing capacity, improved slope stability, and reduced liquefaction potential.

Shear strength of soils is a sophisticated concept. There are entire texts devoted solely to this topic. Unconfined compression tests (see Figure 1.1) are a common means to quantitatively judge the benefit of ground improvement efforts. For some projects, more sophisticated testing may be needed. Principles of shear strength, both drained and undrained, are reviewed in Chapter 2.

1.2.2 Compressibility

Soil stiffness is a measure of the deformation of soils associated with the application of a load. Compressibility is not a unique value, since it depends on the nature of the load application and the initial stress state of the soil. The soil stiffness can be increased, i.e. decreased compressibility, through ground improvement techniques that reduce void ratio or add a cohesive or cementing component. Cohesive soil stiffness can be increased by compaction (Chapter 4) and consolidation (Chapter 5). Granular soil stiffness is generally increased by densification (Chapter 4). Cohesive and granular material compressibility can also be reduced via increasing cohesiveness through soil mixing (Chapter 6) or grouting (Chapter 7).

One of the most well-known cases of excessive deformation (aka settlement) is the campanile (bell tower) in Pisa (see Figure 1.2), aka the “Leaning Tower of Pisa”. Differential movement of the ground below the tower has been the subject of numerous studies and there have been multiple attempts to stabilize the tower. The differential movement resulting from non-uniform subsurface conditions and is exacerbated by the uneven load application once tilting began. In Figure 1.2, notice the cables extending outward from the left side of the tower. This photograph was taken in 1999 at which time a pulley and counterweight system were in place coupled with lead weights placed directly on the foundation acting as a counterweight employed as an emergency measure to stabilize the tower. Subsequently, ground extraction beneath the high side of the tower proved successful in arresting the movements (Burland, et al. 2009). This famous landmark remains a reminder that controlling

deformation and preventing strength failures are two key performance criteria for geotechnical engineering projects.

1.2.3 Hydraulic Conductivity

In most cases, improved ground is ground which is modified to produce a zone of reduced permeability in order to control the detrimental effects of groundwater. For example, flow beneath a dam can lead to soil particle movement (piping) and/or instability. Construction projects also frequently require construction below grade and often below the water table. In these cases, construction dewatering is needed. Ground improvement in such cases might include dewatering, installation of a low permeability vertical barrier (Chapter 8) or reduction in permeability by grouting (Chapter 7).

1.2.4 Liquefaction potential

Loose granular materials below the ground water level can be subject to liquefaction (see Figure 1.3) upon the application of a dynamic load, such as during an earthquake. During shaking, loose granular soil deposits generally decrease in volume (i.e. loose soils densify). If these loose soils are located below the water table, drainage would be needed for the soils to actually densify. This drainage requires sufficient time, which for granular materials, is normally not a problem during static loading. However, during earthquake loading, there is insufficient time for drainage which results in an increase in pore water pressure and a reduction in the effective shear strength of the granular soil. These principles of shear strength and liquefaction potential are presented in more detail in Chapter 2. The most common mitigation of this risk is to densify the soils, which reduces their liquefaction potential. Common tools for densifying granular materials are described in Chapter 4. Other ground improvement techniques to reduce liquefaction potential include ground water control (Chapters 7 and 8) and in situ mixing (Chapter 6).

1.2.5 Shrink/swell behavior

Soils containing smectitic clays are subject to substantial volume changes in response to cycles of wetting and drying. The shrink/swell behavior of these expansive soils can have detrimental effects and can progressively damage a building or cause a retaining wall to fail. Figure 1.4 illustrates road damage due to expansive soils. Understanding clay mineralogy and the resulting expansive behavior (Chapter 2) prior to selecting and designing ground improvement methodologies is important. Ground improvement, through the use of admixtures and in situ mixing (Chapter 6), can minimize the propensity for these materials to change volume with wetting and drying.

1.2.6 Variability

Physical and engineering properties of soils are naturally variable. At times, this variability can affect the performance of a planned structure. For example, if the compressibility varies enough from location to location, excessive differential settlement could be expected. Ground improvement can modify the properties of subsurface materials to provide a more uniform performance. For example, consider the settlement sensitive structure shown in Figure 1.5. Here, the depth to bedrock increased in the downslope direction along the axis of the building. Overlying the bedrock were unconsolidated materials of increasing thickness from one end of the building to the other. Unsurprisingly, concerns

with differential settlement arose and a deep foundation system was chosen for the structure (drilled shafts into pinnacled limestone). However, the chosen foundation system was very costly. This short case study serves to illustrate that, under variable site conditions, ground improvement could reduce site variability, permitting an inexpensive shallow foundation system rather than requiring an expensive deep foundation system. For this site, vibro methods (Chapter 5) could have both densified the soils and reduced variability in compressibility across the site. In cases such as this, ground improvement can prove to be significantly less costly and provide performance equivalent to a deep foundation system.

1.3 Overview of Ground Improvement Techniques

Ground improvement principles have certain fundamental mechanistic characteristics that are used to develop a classification system for ground improvement. Accordingly, this book uses four defining principles, in order of increasing complexity:

- Control of water – removal or control of groundwater
- Mechanical modification – rearrangement of soil or water particles
- Modification by additives – addition of chemicals, etc.
- Modification by inclusions or confinement – system behavior modification through rigid or flexible element inclusion or soil confinement

Assigning a particular ground improvement technique to a particular category is imperfect since some techniques possess multiple behavioral characteristics or provide improvement via multiple principles. This results in some techniques having characteristics from more than one category. Nonetheless, such a classification system is useful in understanding how particular techniques work on a fundamental level. Based upon how a given ground modification technique improves the soil, this book is structured according to Figure 1.6.

Some of the important principles, engineering considerations, and construction methods that are the focus of this book are discussed further in the sub-sections below.

1.3.1 Compaction: Shallow Methods

Compaction is the densification of soils at constant water content. Consolidation, in contrast, is differentiated from compaction by the decrease in water content due to the application of load to a saturated soil. Compaction (densification) is achieved through the application of mechanical energy to soil such that the air void volume is decreased, increasing soil density. Surface compaction with equipment, such as the pad foot self-propelled roller pictured in Figure 1.7, has long been used to increase strength, reduce compressibility, and reduce the permeability of soils. Examples of ground improvement techniques that use mechanical energy as the principle means to improve soil behavior include surface compaction, deep dynamic compaction, and rapid impact compaction. These are all surface applications of mechanical energy that dissipates with depth. In doing so, the mechanical energy causes a rearrangement of the soil structure into a denser configuration. Shallow (surface) methods of compaction for ground improvement are presented in Chapter 4.

1.3.2 Compaction: Deep Methods

Occasionally, the effective depth of surface compaction is insufficient compared to the depth of material targeted for compaction. Here, deep compaction methods, which apply mechanical energy below the surface, are needed. In most cases, deep compaction methods also employ vibration and often involve the addition of stone, grout, or concrete during the process to fill the space created by the densification. Depending upon the details of the process and the contractor completing the work, various names are given to these deep methods. Such names include, but are not limited to, vibro-flotation, vibro-compaction, vibro-replacement, Geopiers®, and rammed aggregate piers® (RAP). For example, Figure 1.8 shows a vibrator used for deep vibro-compaction or vibro-replacement and Figure 1.9 shows the hopper being filled with sand during a vibro-compaction project.

These techniques evolved from work done over 70 years ago by the Keller Company (Kirsch and Kirsch 2010). Deep compaction techniques began to flourish in the 1950's and 1960's. Early projects used large, torpedo-like vibrators operating between 1500 and 3000 rpm and that developed horizontal forces in the range of 100 to 150 kN to effectively compact loose sands. Initially, sand was added at the surface to compensate for the volume change resulting from the densification of the *in situ* sand. As time passed, bottom feed vibrators were developed for the addition sand or stone, enabling the construction of stone columns. For a more detailed history, particularly European history, of the development of deep vibratory technics, see Kirsch and Kirsch (2010). Deep compaction methods are addressed in Chapter 4.

1.3.3 Soil Mixing and Injection Methods

Soil mixing methods, such as those described in Chapter 6, are methods of ground improvement wherein the soil properties are improved *in situ* via addition of one or more reagents. Injecting or mixing in reagents such as lime, Portland cement, slag cement, or combinations of reagents, can result in increased shear strength, reduced compressibility, and reduced hydraulic conductivity. In addition to understanding the means and methods of soil mixing and injection, an understanding of the mechanisms by which the additives work is critical to the successful choice and use of any particular soil mixing and injection method. For example, reagents can be added in a dry mix method (Figure 1.10) or a wet mix method (Figure 1.11). The process of selecting the best method, mix designs and field configurations depends on the knowledge of the soil conditions and desired outcomes. To this end, common materials and the mechanisms of addition along with construction and testing methods to verify performance are presented in Chapter 6.

1.3.4 Stabilization and Solidification

The improvement of ground at contaminated land and hazardous waste sites involves additional considerations, materials and methods beyond those that might be used for ground improvement for geotechnical purposes. Much of the equipment and many of the construction methods are the same as, or similar to, those discussed in Chapter 6. For example, Figure 1.12 shows stabilization and solidification of a contaminated site. Like many contaminated sites, multiple methods of site remediation were employed as a system to contain the contaminants and mitigate the risk to public health and the environment. At this site, stabilization and solidification were used for the upper portion of the area of the disposal pits along with a vertical cutoff (Chapter 6) to control and contain the remaining contaminated soil, sludge, and ground water.

The special nature of contaminated ground as well as protection of public health and the environment requires additional reflection. For these applications, topics such as contaminant transport and bonding mechanisms need to be coupled with traditional considerations such as strength, permeability and compressibility. These topics are presented in Chapter 2 and discussed in Chapters 6 and 8.

1.3.5 Grouting

Grouting, as a means of ground improvement, consists of injecting, usually under pressure, a fluidized material (grout) into the subsurface. The grout then either fills pore space or displaces soil, producing stronger soil formation. Grouting techniques include permeation grouting, fracture grouting, compaction grouting, and jet grouting (a form of soil mixing). Mechanistically, each technique is different, using different materials, methods and design methodologies.

Grout materials often “set” or harden after injection. Chemical grouts, such as silicate grouts, can have low viscosities and penetrate small void spaces. Most cement grouts, particularly those made with Ordinary Portland cement, cannot penetrate small voids but work well in rock containing open fractures and voids. Successful grouting programs are developed with an in depth understanding of the rheological properties of the grout (viscosity, set time, and stability) to predict the movement of the grout in the subsurface.

Compensation grouting is of special importance in urban areas. For example, construction of CrossRail in London included the construction of new railway tunnels and stations in an already crowded subsurface environment. Given the above ground environment that includes many historic and aesthetic structures along the route, techniques to avoid damage to existing structures were required. Excavations for tunnels and stations below grade would inevitably cause surface movements if not for the ability to “compensate” for the subsurface movements via grouting. Thus, surface movements are regularly anticipated, monitored and corrected by subsurface compensation grouting. Figure 1.13 schematically illustrates the benefits of compensation grouting to the minimization of settlement of buildings along a tunnel alignment. Analysis of monitoring data to detect movements can lead to the decision to inject grout under pressure at specified locations to compensate for the detected movements. Compensation, and other types of grouting, are discussed in Chapter 7.

1.3.6 Dewatering

There are times that ground is unstable only because groundwater is present or flowing in such a way as to destabilize the soil. While grouting (Chapter 7) and cutoff walls (Chapter 8) are two ground improvement methods that can reduce hydraulic conductivity and improve stability, there are numerous occasions when dewatering may be a better choice. Without proper groundwater control, flowing groundwater can result in bottom heave, unstable slopes and difficult or impossible working conditions. Figure 1.14 shows an excavation below the water table in a stratigraphy of sand overlying a silt of lower permeability. Even with deep dewatering wells, three meters on center, seepage between the wells at the interface between the sand and the silt resulted in localized and progressive slope instability.

Ground improvement by dewatering is a widely used, but often difficult, technique that requires a detailed knowledge of subsurface conditions, theoretical understanding of groundwater flow, and experience. Dewatering is well covered in many texts, including Powers et al. (2007).

1.3.7 Consolidation

While compaction (Chapter 4) is densification at constant water content, consolidation is densification at decreasing water content (Chapter 5). As a result, consolidation is a time-dependent process, as it takes significant time for water to leave clay. During consolidation, soils gain strength and their compressibility is reduced. Soft, compressible, fine-grained soils are prime candidates for ground improvement by consolidation. Soft cohesive soils generally have low hydraulic conductivities and, since the time-rate of consolidation depends upon soil permeability, the time required to consolidate soft cohesive soil may exceed the time available in the construction schedule. In these cases, consolidation can be enhanced by inserting vertical drains, either sand, or prefabricated. Traditionally sand drains were installed to shorten the drainage path and speed up the consolidation process. Prefabricated vertical drains are now more commonly used. Figure 1.15 shows a typical use of vertical drains to speed consolidation of soft ground beneath an embankment. Consolidation, to improve the properties of ground using techniques such as vertical drains, preloading and vacuum consolidation, is discussed in Chapter 5.

1.3.8 Mechanically Stabilized Earth

For thousands of years, masonry structures were built in such a way as to impart compressive stresses on the stone building materials. Arches were commonly used to span openings as this configuration assured the masonry materials were in compression. This building approach was used because stone has little tensile strength but large compressive strength. Similarly, soils have negligible tensile strength but large compressive strength. Soils work well to support structures and serve as earthen structures when in compression. The introduction of tensile reinforcement, first popularized as Reinforced Earth™, in the 1960's, opened the door to a wide range of applications including the now widely used mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) retaining walls. The enormous benefit of reinforcement is illustrated on Figure 1.16. Not only can a vertical face of fill be achieved but a reverse batter as well.

The benefit of reinforcing is further illustrated to students via the ASCE GeoChallenge, a student competition. Shown on the left side of Figure 1.16 is a sheet of construction paper (the retaining wall face) with strips of brown wrapping paper attached (the reinforcement). Shown on the right side of Figure 1.17 is the completed retaining wall 0.5 m high supporting a sandy backfill and a 22 kg surcharge (in the white bucket). This laboratory experiment demonstrates the important improvement in granular soil strength by the addition of even modest tensile reinforcement. Chapter 10 discusses forms and uses of geosynthetic reinforced soil.

1.3.9 In Situ Barriers

In situ vertical barriers (cutoff walls) have been used for over 40 years to control the horizontal flow of ground water in the subsurface. Improving the ground conditions, by reducing the flow in the horizontal direction has been commonly used for dewatering to improve slope stability and reduce water flow into excavations. In the 1980s, these same barriers came into widespread use for

environmental applications to control of contaminant transport in the subsurface. Engineers also know and acknowledge that many of the dams and levees constructed over the last 100 or more years need improvement. Issues with seepage and stability jeopardize their performance, particularly during flood events. Thus, in situ barriers (cutoff walls) have found widespread use to improve the properties of the underlying materials and improve the properties of the dam or levee.

The Herbert Hoover Dike in Florida, USA, is a prime example of the use of a barrier wall for levee rehabilitation in response to seepage and piping problems. In order to cut off seepage through and beneath the dam, a cutoff wall was installed (Figure 1.18). The wall, 0.7 m wide and averaging 22 m deep, penetrated the dike and the underlying layers of peat, sand and limestone. As a result, existing piping paths were cut off, the seepage path was lengthened, and exit gradients were reduced.

There are myriad materials that may be used in cutoff walls and numerous ways to construct them. The desired final product is usually a cutoff wall that is homogeneous and has a low permeability (hydraulic conductivity). Often there is a moderate strength requirement as well. Special considerations of compatibility between the barrier and the contaminants are needed when these barriers are used to control contaminant transport around waste or contaminated land sites. Materials, methods, design and analyses of cutoff walls are discussed in detail in Chapter 8.

1.3.10 Future Developments in Ground Improvement

While many ground improvement techniques are tried and proven, there are continuous developments in design, equipment, and construction techniques for these established methods of ground improvement. No doubt there will be new publications reporting on these developments coincident with, and after the completion of this text. This text to aid in understanding, evaluating, and adopting new developments.

In addition to emerging developments and improvements to existing technologies, some pending developments may prove to be entirely new approaches to ground improvement. One excellent example might be termed biogeotechnical ground improvement. There is a rich microbial environment in soils. Microbes participate in biogeochemical reactions, continuously reproducing and dying off. The ways microbes can affect soil behavior include, but are not limited to, mineral precipitation, mineral transformation and biofilm growth. Mineral precipitation can result in stronger, stiffer soils, yielding improved bearing capacity, liquefaction resistance and reduced compressibility. Biofilms can also reduce permeability, forming subsurface barriers.

Figure 1.19 shows an idealized cross-section showing various biogeotechnical ground improvements including stabilization of ground surrounding a tunnel, improved slope stability, low permeability barrier to control subgrade water, and improved erosion control.

In addition to developments like biogeotechnical ground improvement, the future is likely to reveal the development and use of existing materials and methods in ways that they are not currently used. While not in widespread use, mixing plastic fibers to increase the strength of sand (Park and Tan 2005; Gray and Ohashi 1983) and the use of geofoam to reduce earth pressures on retaining walls (Horvath 2010; Dasaka et al. 2012) are gaining use. Benefits of reusing a variety of waste materials, such as recycled gypsum (Ahmed and Issa 2014), and electrokinetics for the stabilization of soft clay (Lamont-Black et al 2012; Malekzadeh and Sivakugan, 2017), are being studied.

It is likely that the future of ground improvement will provide for explicit considerations of sustainability when deciding what, if any, ground improvement method to employ. Historically, geotechnical engineers were primarily concerned with 1) providing an adequate factor of safety against failure of soil, 2) controlling settlements and movements of the ground, and 3) cost. Environmental and sustainability considerations are an important part of the decision process. Considerations of noise, historically or architecturally important structures, archeological finds, and inconvenience to the public are essential considerations when employing ground improvement. At the time of this writing (2020), it is clear that future projects will need to explicitly consider sustainability and legacy effects in the decision process.

1.4. Importance of Construction

There is a common thread that weaves through this chapter and this book: the design and performance of ground improvement is inextricably linked to construction. One cannot “design” a ground improvement program without a full understanding of the construction means and methods. In fact, credit for the development of ground improvement techniques lies largely with innovative contractors. Many of the experts in the field of ground improvement are or were contractors.

References

Chapter 1

- Ahmed, A. and Issa, U.H., 2014. Stability of soft clay soil stabilised with recycled gypsum in a wet environment. *Soils and Foundations*, 54(3), pp.405-416.
- ASCE, 1968. *Specialty Conference on Placement and Improvement of Soil to Support Structures*, American Society of Civil Engineers., Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division.
- ASCE, 1978. Soil Improvement-History, Capabilities, and Outlook. J.K Mitchell, Editor. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, 182 pp. (6)
- Berechman, J., 2003. Transportation—economic aspects of Roman highway development: the case of Via Appia. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 37(5), pp.453-478.
- Dasaka, S.M., Dave, T.N., Gade, V.K. and Chauhan, V.B., 2014. Seismic earth pressure reduction on gravity retaining walls using EPS geo-foam. In *Proc. of 8th International Conference on Physical Modelling in Geotechnical Engineering, Perth, Australia* (pp. 1025-1030).
- Gray, D.H. and Ohashi, H., 1983. Mechanics of fiber reinforcement in sand. *Journal of geotechnical engineering*, 109(3), pp.335-353.
- Horvath, J.S., 2010. Emerging trends in failures involving EPS-block geofoam fills. *Journal of performance of constructed facilities*, 24(4), pp.365-372.
- Lamont-Black, J., Hall, J.A., Glendinning, S., White, C.P. and Jones, C.J., 2012. Stabilization of a railway embankment using electrokinetic geosynthetics. *Geological Society, London, Engineering Geology Special Publications*, 26(1), pp.125-139.
- Malekzadeh, M. and Sivakugan, N., 2017. Experimental study on intermittent electroconsolidation of singly and doubly drained dredged sediments. *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 11(1), pp.32-37.
- Moseley, M.P. and Kirsch, K. eds., 2004. *Ground improvement*. CRC Press.
- Park, T. and Tan, S.A., 2005. Enhanced performance of reinforced soil walls by the inclusion of short fiber. *Geotextiles and geomembranes*, 23(4), pp.348-361.

Powers, J.P., Corwin, A.B., Schmall, P.C. and Kaeck, W.E., 2007. *Construction dewatering and groundwater control: new methods and applications*. John Wiley & Sons.

Chapter 2

ASTM Standard D 1586 / D1586M, 2018. "Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils", American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.

ASTM D5778 – 20, 2020. Standard Test Method for Electronic Friction Cone and Piezocone Penetration Testing of Soils, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.

Bishop, A.W., Henkel, D.J., 1957. *The Measurement of Soil Properties in the Triaxial Test*, Edward Arnold publisher, London, UK, 190 pages

Cedergren, H.R., 1997. *Seepage, drainage, and flow nets*(Vol. 16). John Wiley & Sons.

Clough, G.W. and Duncan, J.M., 1991. Earth pressures. In *Foundation engineering handbook* (pp. 223-235). Springer, Boston, MA.

Daniel, D.E. and Benson, C.H., 1990. Water content-density criteria for compacted soil liners. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 116(12), pp.1811-1830.

Harr, M.E., 2012. *Groundwater and seepage*. Courier Corporation.

Gibbs, H.J. and Holtz, W. G., 1957. Research on determining the density of sands by spoon penetration testing. *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, 1.

Holtz, R.D, Kovacs, W.D., Sheahan, T.C., 2010. *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, Prentice Hall, NJ, USA

Iverson, R.M., 2000. Landslide triggering by rain infiltration. *Water resources research*, 36(7), pp.1897-1910.

Jaky, J., 1944. The coefficient of earth pressure at rest. *J. of the Society of Hungarian Architects and Engineers*, pp.355-358.

Kovacs, W.D., Evans, J.C. and Griffith, A.H., 1977. Towards a More Standardized SPT. *Proc. 9th Int. Conf. on Soil Mech. Found. Eng.*, Tokyo, v. 2, p. 269-276.

Kulhawy, F.H. and Mayne, P.W., 1990. *Manual on estimating soil properties for foundation design* (No. EPRI-EL-6800). Electric Power Research Inst., Palo Alto, CA (USA); Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY (USA). Geotechnical Engineering Group.

Lade, P.V., 2016. *Triaxial testing of soils*. John Wiley & Sons.

LaGrega, M.D., Buckingham, P.L. and Evans, J.C., 2010. *Hazardous waste management*. Waveland Press.

Liao, S.S. and Whitman, R.V., 1986. Overburden correction factors for SPT in sand. *Journal of geotechnical engineering*, 112(3), pp.373-377.

Marcuson, W.F. and Bieganousky, W.A., 1977. SPT and relative density in coarse sands. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 103(11), pp.1295-1309.

McCarthy, D.F. and McCarthy, D.F., 2002. *Essentials of soil mechanics and foundations* (6th ed.), Prentice Hall, NJ, USA, 730pp

Lu, N. and Likos, W.J., 2004. *Unsaturated soil mechanics*. Wiley.

Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G. and Wightman, A., 1983. SPT-CPT Correlations. *Journal of geotechnical engineering*, 109(11), pp.1449-1459.

Robertson, P.K., Campanella, R.G., Gillespie, D. and Greig, J., 1986, June. Use of piezometer cone data. In *Use of in situ tests in geotechnical engineering* (pp. 1263-1280). ASCE.

- Skempton, A.W., 1986. Standard penetration test procedures and the effects in sands of overburden pressure, relative density, particle size, ageing and overconsolidation. *Geotechnique*, 36(3), pp.425-447.
- Tanyu, B.F., Sabatini, P.J. and Berg, R.R., 2008. Earth retaining structures. *US Department of Transportation*, National Highway Institute, Federal Highway Administration, Washington D.C.
- Terzaghi, K., 1925. *Erdbaumechanik auf bodenphysikalischer Grundlage*, Leipzig u. Wien, F. Deuticke, 399p
- US Army, 1968. Report on Replacement--lock & Dam 26, Mississippi River, Alton, Illinois, US Army Corps of Engineers. St. Louis, Missouri, District, US Department of the Army, Washington, D.C., USA, 43pp plus appendices.
- US Army, 1993. Seepage Analysis and Control for Dams, CECW-EG, Engineer Manual 1110-2-1901, Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, D.C., USA, 392pp.
- USBR, 1987. Design of Small Dams, U.S. Dept. of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, 3rd Edition, Washington, D.C., USA
- USDA, 1979. The Mechanics of Seepage Analyses, Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Engineering Division, Soils Mechanics Note no. 7, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., USA

Chapter 3

- AASHTO (2015) Standard Specification for Geotextile Specification for Highway Applications, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC.
- ASTM D3080 (2011) Standard Test Method for Direct Shear Test of Soils Under Consolidated Drained Conditions, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4221 (2011) Standard Test Method for Dispersive Characteristics of Clay Soil by Double Hydrometer, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4254 (2006) Standard Test Methods for Minimum Index Density and Unit Weight of Soils and Calculation of Relative Density, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4318 (2010) Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4355 (2007) Standard Test Method for Deterioration of Geotextiles by Exposure to Light, Moisture and Heat in a Xenon Arc Type Apparatus, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4533 (2011) Standard Test Method for Trapezoid Tearing Strength of Geotextiles, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4595 (2011) Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Geotextiles by the Wide-Width Strip Method, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D4751 (2012) Standard Test Method for Determining Apparent Opening Size of a Geotextile, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D5034-09 Standard Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Grab Test), American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D5101 (2006) Standard Test Method for Measuring the Soil-Geotextile System Clogging Potential by the Gradient Ratio, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D5261 (2010) Standard Test Method for Measuring Mass per Unit Area of Geotextiles, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.

- ASTM D5321 (2012) Standard Test Method for Determining the Shear Strength of Soil-Geosynthetic and Geosynthetic-Geosynthetic Interfaces by Direct Shear, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D5567 (2006) Standard Test Method for Hydraulic Conductivity Ratio (HCR) Testing of Soil/Geotextile Systems, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D6241 (2009) Standard Test Method for the Static Puncture Strength of Geotextiles and Geotextile-Related Products Using a 50-mm Probe, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D6637 (2011) Standard Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Geogrids by the Single or Multi-Rib Tensile Method, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D6767 (2011) Standard Test Method for Pore Size Characteristics of Geotextiles by Capillary Flow Test, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D6913-04(2009) Standard Test Methods for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Soils Using Sieve Analysis, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- ASTM D7238 (2012) Standard Test Method for Effect of Exposure of Unreinforced Polyolefin Geomembrane Using Fluorescent UV Condensation Apparatus, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- D4491-99a (2009) Standard Test Methods for Water Permeability of Geotextiles by Permittivity, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.
- FHWA (1992) Geosynthetic design and construction guidelines: Reference manual.” Publication no. FHWA NHI-NHI-07-092, NHI Course 132013, National Highway Institute and Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- FHWA (1995) Geosynthetic Design and Construction Guidelines Participant Notebook 1995, FHWA-HI-95-038, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC
- GeoFilter (2013) GeoFilter software for geotextile filter design, <http://www.tencate.com/amer/geosynthetics/design/default.aspx>, June 11, 2013
- Giroud, J.P. (1988) Review of geotextile filter design criteria, *in* Proceedings of the first Indian conference on reinforced soil and geotextiles, Bombay, India, IBH Publishing, New Delhi, India, p1-6.
- GSI (2004) Educational geosynthetic photo gallery, Geosynthetic Institute, Folsom, PA
- Holtz, R. D. and Christopher, B. R. (1990) “In Remembrance of Robert J. Barrett (1924-1990)”, *Geotechnical News*, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 41.
- John, N.W.M. (1987) *Geotextiles*, Chapman and Hall, NY.
- Koerner, R. M. and Welsh, J. P. (1980) *Construction and Geotechnical Engineering Using Synthetic Fabrics*, Wiley, 267 pp.
- Koerner, R.M. (1986) *Designing with Geosynthetics*, 1st edition, Prentice Hall, NY
- Koerner, R.M. (2005) *Designing with Geosynthetics*, 5th edition, Prentice Hall, NY
- Koerner, R.M. (2012) *Designing with Geosynthetics*, 6th ed., vols. 1 and 2, Xlibris
- Luettich, S.M, Giroud, J.P., Bachus, R.C. (1992) Geotextile filter design guide, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, v11, p355-370.
- Narejo, D. (2003). “A Simple Tilt Table Device to Measure Index Friction Angle of Geosynthetics” *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, v21. p49-57.
- Rankilor, P. R. (1981) *Membranes in Ground Engineering*, Wiley, 377 pp.
- Richardson, G. N. and Koerner, R. M. (1990) *A Design Primer: Geotextiles and Related Materials*, Industrial Fabrics Association International, Roseville, MN, 104 pp.

US Army (1995) Engineering use of geotextiles, Technical manual TM 50818-8, US Department of Defense, Department of the Army, Washington, DC.

Veldhuijzen van Zanten, R., Editor (1986) Geotextiles and Geomembranes in Civil Engineering, Wiley.

Chapter 4

Abdullah, C.H. and Edil, T.B., 2007. Behaviour of geogrid-reinforced load transfer platforms for embankment on rammed aggregate piers. *Geosynthetics International*, 14(3), pp.141-153.

ASTM (2012a) ASTM D698 - 12e2, Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort (12 400 ft-lbf/ft³ (600 kN-m/m³)), American Society of Testing and Materials, W. Conshohocken, PA

ASTM (2012b) ASTM D1557 - 12e1, Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort (56,000 ft-lbf/ft³ (2,700 kN-m/m³)), American Society of Testing and Materials, W. Conshohocken, PA

ASTM D1586 / D1586M (2018) Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils, American Society of Testing and Materials, W. Conshohocken, PA, USA

Ausilio, E. and Conte, E., 2007. Soil compaction by vibro-replacement: a case study. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Ground Improvement*, 11(3), pp.117-126.

Baez, J.I. and Martin, G.R., 1992. Liquefaction observations during installation of stone columns using the vibro-replacement technique. *Geotechnical news*, 10(3), pp.41-44.

Bo, M.W., Na, Y.M., Arulrajah, A. and Chang, M.F., 2009. Densification of granular soil by dynamic compaction. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Ground Improvement*, 162(3), pp.121-132.

Broms, B.B., 1991. Deep compaction of granular soils. In *Foundation engineering handbook* (pp. 814-832). Springer, Boston, MA.

Brown, R.E. and Glenn, A.J., 1976. Vibroflotation and terra-probe comparison. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 102(10), pp.1059-1072.

Boulanger, R.W., Idriss, I.M., Stewart, D.P., Hashash, Y. and Schmidt, B., 1998. Drainage capacity of stone columns or gravel drains for mitigating liquefaction. In *Drainage capacity of stone columns or gravel drains for mitigating liquefaction*(pp. 678-690). American Society of Civil Engineers.

Carchedi, D.R., Monaghan, J. and Parra, J., 2006. Innovative stabilization of peat soils for railroad foundation using rammed aggregate piers. In *Ground Modification and Seismic Mitigation* (pp. 127-134).

Daniel, D.E. and Benson, C.H., 1990. Water content-density criteria for compacted soil liners. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 116(12), pp.1811-1830.

Dewey, C.A., Cheatem, G.L, and Howe, S. 2014. "Investing in Your Neighbor's IRA", *Principles of Sound Investing*, Lehman Brothers Publishers, NY.

Egan, D., Scott, W. and McCabe, B.A., 2008, August. Installation effects of vibro replacement stone columns in soft clay. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on the Geotechnics of Soft Soils, Glasgow* (pp. 23-30).

Falkner, F.J., Adam, C., Paulmichl, I., Adam, D. and Fürpass, J., 2010, June. Rapid impact compaction for middle-deep improvement of the ground—numerical and experimental investigation. In *The 14th Danube-European Conference on Geotechnical Engineering "From Research to Design in European Practice* (p. 10).

- Feng, S.J., Du, F.L., Shi, Z.M., Shui, W.H. and Tan, K., 2015. Field study on the reinforcement of collapsible loess using dynamic compaction. *Engineering Geology*, 185, pp.105-115
- Filz, G., Sloan, J., McGuire, M.P., Collin, J. and Smith, M., 2012. Column-supported embankments: settlement and load transfer. In *Geotechnical engineering state of the art and practice: keynote lectures from GeoCongress 2012* (pp. 54-77).
- Forsblad, L., 1977. Vibratory Compaction in the Construction of Roads, Airfields, Dams, and Other Projects. *Research Report*, (8222).
- Forsblad, L., 1981. *Vibratory soil and rock fill compaction* (No. Monograph).
- Germaine, J.T. and Germaine, A.V., 2009. *Geotechnical laboratory measurements for engineers*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gunaratne, M. ed., 2013. *The foundation engineering handbook*. CRC Press.
- Green, R.A., 2001. *Energy-Based Evaluation and Remediation of Liquefiable Soils*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 397 p.
- Han, J. and Ye, S.L., 2001. Simplified method for consolidation rate of stone column reinforced foundations. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 127(7), pp.597-603.
- Handy, R.L., 2001. Does lateral stress really influence settlement?. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 127(7), pp.623-626.
- Hennebert, P., Lambert, S., Fouillen, F. and Charrasse, B., 2014. Assessing the environmental impact of shredded tires as embankment fill material. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 51(5), pp.469-478.
- Hilf, J.W., 1956. An investigation of pore water pressure in compacted cohesive soils.
- Hilf, J.W., 1991. Compacted fill. In *Foundation engineering handbook* (pp. 249-316). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Hogentogler, C.A. and Willis, E.A., 1936. Stabilized soil roads. *Public Roads*, 17(3), pp.45-65.
- Kirsch, K. and Kirsch, F., 2016. *Ground improvement by deep vibratory methods*. CRC Press.
- Kristiansen, H. and Davies, M., 2004, August. Ground improvement using rapid impact compaction. In *13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering. Vancouver, BC, Canada. Pape* (No. 496).
- Kwong, H.K., Lien, B. and Fox, N.S., 2002. Stabilizing landslides using rammed aggregate piers. In *Proc., 5th Malaysian Road Conf., Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*.
- Lambe, T.W., 1958a. The structure of compacted clays. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 84(2), pp.1-34.
- Lambe, T.W., 1958b. The engineering behavior of compacted clay. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 84(2), pp.1-35.
- Leonards, G.A., Holtz, R.D. and Cutter, W.A., 1980. Dynamic compaction of granular soils. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 106(1), pp.35-44.
- Lukas, R.G., 1992. Dynamic compaction engineering considerations. In *Grouting, soil improvement and geosynthetics* (pp. 940-953). ASCE.
- Mackiewicz, S.M. and Camp, III, W.M., 2007. Ground modification: how much improvement?. In *Soil Improvement* (pp. 1-9).
- Mayne, P.W., Jones Jr, J.S. and Dumas, J.C., 1984. Ground response to dynamic compaction. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering* 110(6), pp.757-774.
- McCabe, B.A., McNeill, J.A. and Black, J.A., 2007. Ground improvement using the vibro-stone column technique. Presented Engineers Ireland West Region and the Geotechnical Society of Ireland, NUI Galway, 15th March 2007, The Institution of Engineers of Ireland.
- McCarthy, D.F., 2002. Essentials of soil mechanics and foundations basic geotechnics. *Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River*.

- Menard, L. and Broise, Y., 1975. Theoretical and practical aspect of dynamic consolidation. *Geotechnique*, 25(1), pp.3-18.
- Mitchell, J.K., 1981. Soil improvement-state of the art report. In *Proc., 11th Int. Conf. on SMFE* (Vol. 4, pp. 509-565).
- Mitchell, J.K. and Huber, T.R., 1985. Performance of a stone column foundation. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 111(2), pp.205-223.
- Mitchell, J.K., 1986. Ground improvement evaluation by in-situ tests. In *Use of In Situ Tests in Geotechnical Engineering* (pp. 221-236). ASCE.
- Mitchell, J.K., Cooke, H.G. and Schaeffer, J.A., 1998. Design considerations in ground improvement for seismic risk mitigation. In *Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics III* (pp. 580-613). ASCE.
- Neely, W.J. and Leroy, D.A., 1991. Densification of sand using a variable frequency vibratory probe. In *Deep Foundation Improvements: Design, Construction, and Testing*. ASTM International.
- Olson, R.E., 1963. Effective stress theory of soil compaction. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 89(2), pp.27-45.
- O'Malley, E.S., Saunders, S.A. and Ecker, J.J., 2004. Slope rehabilitation at the Baltimore-Washington Parkway with rammed aggregate piers. *Transportation research record*, 1874(1), pp.136-146.
- Priebe, H.J., 1995. The design of vibro replacement. *Ground engineering*, 28(10), p.31.
- Priebe, H.J., 1998. Vibro replacement to prevent earthquake induced liquefaction. *Ground engineering*, 31(9), pp.30-33.
- Proctor, R., 1933. Fundamental principles of soil compaction. *Engineering news-record*, 111(13).
- Ruffing, D., Elton, D., and Evans, J. 2007. Compaction and It's Relation to Human Stability, *Journal of Human Sciences*, 14(9), pp.28-34.
- Schroeder, W.L. and Byington, M., 1972, April. Experiences with compaction of hydraulic fills. In *Engineering Geology and Soils Engineering Symposium, Proceedings of the 6th Annual Idaho Department of Highways University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho State University, Pocatello*.
- Seed, H.B. and Chan, C.K., 1959. Structure and strength characteristics of compacted clays. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 85(5), pp.87-128.
- Serridge, C.J. and Synac, O., 2006, September. Application of the Rapid Impact Compaction (RIC) technique for risk mitigation in problematic soils. In *The 10th IAEG International Congress, Nottingham, United Kingdom* (pp. 1-13).
- Sexton, B.G., Sivakumar, V. and McCabe, B.A., 2017. Creep improvement factors for vibro-replacement design. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Ground Improvement*, 170(1), pp.35-56.
- Wehr, J. and Sondermann, W., 2012. Deep vibro techniques. In *Ground Improvement* (pp. 28-67). CRC Press.
- Watts, K., 2003. *Specifying Dynamic Compaction*. Building Research Establishment. BRE Report BR458, Garston, BRE Bookshop, UK.
- Watts, K.S. and Charles, J.A., 1993. Initial assessment of new rapid ground compactor. In *Engineered Fills. Proceedings of the Conference, Engineered Fills '93*. 15-17 September, Newcastle upon Tyne.
- Wehr, J. and Sondermann, W., 2012. Deep vibro techniques. In *Ground Improvement* (pp. 28-67). CRC Press.
- Welsh, J.P., 1986. In situ testing for ground modification techniques. In *Use of In Situ Tests in Geotechnical Engineering* (pp. 322-335). ASCE.

- Van Impe, W.F. and Bouazza, A., 1997. Densification of domestic waste fills by dynamic compaction. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 33(6), pp.879-887.
- Yoon, S., Prezzi, M., Siddiki, N.Z. and Kim, B., 2006. Construction of a test embankment using a sand–tire shred mixture as fill material. *Waste Management*, 26(9), pp.1033-1044.
- Zekkos, D. and Flanagan, M., 2011. Case histories-based evaluation of the deep dynamic compaction technique on municipal solid waste sites. In *Geo-Frontiers 2011: Advances in Geotechnical Engineering* pp. 529-538).
- Zekkos, D., Kabalan, M. and Flanagan, M., 2013. Lessons learned from case histories of dynamic compaction at municipal solid waste sites. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 139(5), pp.738-751.

Chapter 5

- Bergado, D.T., Anderson, L.R., Miura, N. and Balasubramaniam, A.S., 1996. Prefabricated vertical drains PVD. Soft ground improvement in lowland and other environments, pp.88-185.
- Casagrande, L. and Poulos, S., 1969. On the effectiveness of sand drains. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 6(3), pp.287-326.
- Chai, J.C. and Miura, N., 1999. Investigation of factors affecting vertical drain behavior. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 125(3), pp.216-226.
- Chai, J.C., Miura, N. and Sakajo, S., 1999. A theoretical study on smear effect around vertical drain. In *International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering* (pp. 1581-1584).
- Chen, H., and Bao, X.C., 1983. Analysis of soil consolidation stress under the action of negative pressure. *Proc. 8th European Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found. Eng., Helsinki, Vol. 2*, 591-596
- Chu, J., Yan, S. and Indraratna, B., 2008. Vacuum preloading techniques—recent developments and applications. In *GeoCongress 2008: Geosustainability and Geohazard Mitigation* (pp. 586-595).
- Coduto, D., Yeung, M. and Kitch, W., 2011. *Geotechnical Engineering Principles and Practices*. 2nd.
- Das B.M., 2016. *Soil Mechanics Laboratory Manual*. Oxford University Press.
- Das, B.M., 2015. *Principles of foundation engineering*. Cengage learning.
- Das, B.M. and Sobhan, K., 2013. *Principles of geotechnical engineering*. Cengage learning.
- Evans, J.C. and Ryan, C.R., 2005. Time-dependent strength behavior of soil-bentonite slurry wall backfill. In *Waste Containment and Remediation: Proceedings of the Geo-Frontiers 2005 Congress*, Geotechnical Special Publication No. 142. pp. 1-9.
- Fang, H.Y., 2013. *Foundation engineering handbook*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Fratta, D., Aguetant, J. and Roussel-Smith, L., 2007. *Introduction to soil mechanics laboratory testing*. CRC press.
- Hansbo, S., 1979. Consolidation of clay by bandshaped prefabricated drains. *Ground Engineering*, 12(5).
- Hansbo, S., 1980. Consolidation of fine-grained soils by prefabricated drains. In *Proc. of the. 10th ICSMF (Vol. 3, pp. 677-682)*.
- Hausmann, M.R., 1990. *Engineering principles of ground modification*. McGraw-Hill.
- Indraratna, B. and Redana, I.W., 1998. Laboratory determination of smear zone due to vertical drain installation. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 124(2), pp.180-184.
- Indraratna, B. and Redana, I.W., 2000. Numerical modeling of vertical drains with smear and well resistance installed in soft clay. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 37(1), pp.132-145.

- Indraratna, B., Rujikiatkamjorn, C., Balasubramaniam, A.S. and Wijeyakulasuriya, V., 2005. Predictions and observations of soft clay foundations stabilized with geosynthetic drains and vacuum surcharge. In Elsevier Geo-Engineering Book Series(Vol. 3, pp. 199-229). Elsevier.
- Indraratna, B., Rujikiatkamjorn, C., Balasubramaniam, A.S. and McIntosh, G., 2012. Soft ground improvement via vertical drains and vacuum assisted preloading. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 30, pp.16-23.
- Kjellman, W., 1951. Drainage method and device. U.S. Patent 2,577,252.
- Kjellman, W. 1939. Method and means to accelerate the consolidation of clay-ground or other soil, Application GB632902A.
- Kjellman, W., 1952. Method of consolidating soils. U.S. Patent 2,615,307.
- Koerner, R. M., 2012. Wick Drains, Designing with Geosynthetics, Vol. 2. Xlibris Corporation.
- Leonards, G. A., & Girault, P., 1961. A study of the one-dimensional consolidation test. *Proceeding 9th ICSMFE, Paris*, 1, 116-130.
- Leonards, G. A., & Altschaeffl, A. G., 1964. Compressibility of clay. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 90(5), 133-156.
- Leonards, G. and Ramiah, B., 1960, January. Time effects in the consolidation of clays. In *Papers on Soils 1959 Meetings*. ASTM International.
- Mesri, G., and Lo, D.O.K., 1991. Field performance of prefabricated vertical drains. In *Proc. International Conference on Geotechnical Engineering for Coastal Development-Theory to Practice*, Yokohama, Japan, vol. 1, pp. 231-236.
- Mesri, G. and Funk, J.R., 2015. Settlement of the Kansai international airport islands. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 141(2), p.04014102.
- Hayward Baker (2007) Prefabricated Vertical Drain Guide Specifications
- Holtz, R.D. and Kovacs, W.D., and Sheahan, T.C., 2011. *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, 2nd Edition, Pearson.
- Holtz, R.D. and Wager, O., 1975. Preloading by vacuum: current prospects. *Transportation research record*, 548, pp.26-29.
- Kolff, A.H.N., Spierenburg, S.E.J. and Mathijssen, F.A.J.M., 2004. BeauDrain: a new consolidation system based on the old concept of vacuum consolidation, *Proc. 5th International Conference on Ground Improvement Techniques*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Moran, D.E., 1926. Foundation and the like. U.S. Patent 1,598,300.
- Murthy, V.N.S., 2002. *Geotechnical engineering: principles and practices of soil mechanics and foundation engineering*. CRC press.
- Porter, O.J., 1936. Studies of Fill Construction Over Mud Flats including a Description of Experimental Construction Using Vertical Sand Drains to Hasten Stabilization, *Proc., First International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Vol. 1, pp. 229-235, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
- Rujikiatkamjorn, C. and Indraratna, B., 2007. Analytical solutions and design curves for vacuum-assisted consolidation with both vertical and horizontal drainage. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 44(2), pp.188-200.
- Rujikiatkamjorn, C., Indraratna, B. and Chu, J., 2008. 2D and 3D numerical modeling of combined surcharge and vacuum preloading with vertical drains. *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 8(2), pp.144-156.
- Rujikiatkamjorn, C. and Indraratna, B., 2009. Design procedure for vertical drains considering a linear variation of lateral permeability within the smear zone. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 46(3), pp.270-280.
- Rutledge, P.C. and Johnson, S.J., 1958. Review of Uses of Vertical Sand Drains. *Bulletin*, 173.

- Sathananthan, I. and Indraratna, B., 2006. Laboratory evaluation of smear zone and correlation between permeability and moisture content. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 132(7), pp.942-945.
- Sharma, J.S. and Xiao, D., 2000. Characterization of a smear zone around vertical drains by large-scale laboratory tests. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 37(6), pp.1265-1271.
- Tang, M. and Shang, J.Q., 2000. Vacuum preloading consolidation of Yaoqiang Airport runway. *Geotechnique*, 50(6), pp.613-623.
- Terzaghi, Karl. 1925. Principles of soil mechanics, IV—Settlement and consolidation of clay. *Engineering News-Record* 95, no. 3: 874-878.
- Terzaghi, K., Peck, R.B. and Mesri, G., 1996. *Soil mechanics in engineering practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Yeo, S.S., Shackelford, C.D. and Evans, J.C., 2005. Consolidation and hydraulic conductivity of nine model soil-bentonite backfills. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 131(10), pp.1189-1198.

Chapter 6

- Adams, T.E., 2011. Stability of levees and floodwalls supported by deep-mixed shear walls: Five case studies in the New Orleans area (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Tech).
- Åhnberg, H. and Johansson, S.E., 2005. Increase in strength with time in soils stabilised with different types of binder in relation to the type and amount of reaction products. *Proceedings of Deep Mixing '05, Stockholm*, pp.195-202.
- Åhnberg, H., 2006. *Strength of Stabilised Soil-A Laboratory Study on Clays and Organic Soils Stabilised with different Types of Binder*. Lund University.
- Andromalos, K.B., Ruffing, D.G., and Peter, I.F., (2012) "In Situ Remediation and Stabilization of Contaminated Soils and Groundwater Using Soil Mixing Techniques With Various Reagents," SEFE7: 7th Seminar on Special Foundations Engineering and Geotechnics, Sao Paulo, Brazil, June 17-20.
- Andromalos, K.B., Ruffing, D.G. and Spillane, V.A., 2015. Construction Considerations for ISS Bench-Scale Studies and Field-Scale Monitoring Programs. *Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste*, 19(1), p.C4014001.
- ANSI/ANS-16.1-2003, Measurement of the Leachability of Solidified Low-Level Radioactive Wastes by a Short-term Test Procedure, " American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.
- Aoi, Minori, Fumio Kinoshita, Shigeaki Ashida, Hiroaki Kondo, Yuji Nakajim, Motohiko Mizutani. 1998. Diaphragm Wall Continuous Excavation Method: TRD Method. *Korbelco Technology Review*, No. 21.
- Aoi, M., Komoto, T. and Ashida, S., 1996. Application of TRD method to waste treatment on the ground, in *Environmental Geotechnics* (pp. 437-440).
- Arnold, M., Beckhaus, K. and Wiedenmann, U., 2011. Cut-off wall construction using Cutter Soil Mixing: a case study. *geotechnik*, 34(1), pp.11-21.
- Babasaki, R.M. and Terashi, T.S., A., Maekaea, M, Kawamura, E. and Fukazawa.(1996). "JGS TC Report: Factors Influencing the Strength of Improved Soil." *Grouting and Deep Mixing*. In *Proceedings of IS-Tokyo'96, The 2 nd International Conference on Ground Improvement Geosystems*, 14-17 May 1996, Tokyo (pp. 913-918).
- Bahner, E.W. and Naguib, A.M., 1998. Design and construction of a deep soil mix retaining wall for the Lake Parkway Freeway Extension. In *Soil Improvement for Big Digs*(pp. 41-58). ASCE.

- Bates, E. R. (2010)a. "Selecting performance specifications for Solidification/Stabilization." International Solidification/Stabilization Technology Forum, Sydney, Nova Scotia, June 14-18, 2010, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia.
- Bates, E. R. (2010)b. "Overview of Solidification/Stabilization in the US Superfund Program." International Solidification/Stabilization Technology Forum, Sydney, Nova Scotia, June 14-18, 2010, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia.
- Bates, E. and Hills, C., 2015. Stabilization and solidification of contaminated soil and waste: a manual of practice. September 2015.
- Brandl, H., 1999. Mixed-in-place stabilisation of pavement structures with cement and. In Geotechnical Engineering for Transportation Infrastructure: Theory and Practice, Planning and Design, Construction and Maintenance: Proceedings of the Twelfth European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 7-10 June 1999 (p. 1473). Taylor & Francis US.
- Bruce, D.A., Bruce, M.E.C. and DiMillio, A.F., 1998. Deep mixing method: A global perspective. Geotechnical special publication, pp.1-26.
- Bruce, D.A., 2001. An Introduction to the Deep Mixing Methods as Used in Geotechnical Applications, Volume III: The Verification and Properties of Treated Ground (No. FHWA-RD-99-167,), Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D. C.,
- Bruce, D.A. and Bruce, M.E.C., 2003. The practitioner's guide to deep mixing. In Grouting and ground treatment (pp. 474-488).
- Bruce, D.A. and Cali, P.R., 2005. State of Practice Report: Session 3 "Design of Deep Mixing Applications". In International conference on deep mixing, Swedish Geotechnical Institute, Stockholm Sweden.
- Bruce, M.E.C., Berg, R.R., Collin, J.G., Filz, G.M., Terashi, M., Yang, D.S. and Geotechnica, S., 2013. Federal Highway Administration design manual: Deep mixing for embankment and foundation support (No. FHWA-HRT-13-046). United States. Federal Highway Administration. Offices of Research & Development.
- Cermak, J., Evans, J. and Tamaro, G.J., 2012. Evaluation of Soil-Cement-Bentonite Wall Performance-Effects of Backfill Shrinkage. In Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012 (pp. 502-511).
- Conner, J.R., 1990, "Chemical Fixation and Solidification of Hazardous Wastes", ISBN 0-442-20511-2, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- Croce, P., Flora, A. and Modoni, G., 2014. Jet grouting: technology, design and control. CRC Press.
- Day, S.R. and Ryan, C., 1995. Containment, stabilization and treatment of contaminated soils using in situ soil mixing. In Geoenvironment 2000: Characterization, Containment, Remediation, and Performance in Environmental Geotechnics (pp. 1349-1365). ASCE.
- Evans, J.C., 2007. The TRD method: slag-cement materials for in situ mixed vertical barriers. In Soil Improvement (pp. 1-11).
- Evans, J.C. and Garbin, E.J., 2009. The TRD method for in situ mixed vertical barriers. In Advances in Ground Improvement: Research to Practice in the United States and China, (pp. 271-280).
- Filz, G.M. and Navin, M.P., 2010. A practical method to account for strength variability of deep-mixed ground. In GeoFlorida 2010: Advances in analysis, modeling & design (pp. 2426-2433).
- Gardner, F.G., et. al., 1998, "Implementation of Deep Soil Mixing at the Kansas City Plant", ORNL/TM-13532, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Grand Junction, Colorado, December.
- Garrabrants, A.C., Kosson, D.S., Van der Sloot, H.A., Sanchez, F. and Hjelm, O., 2010. Background information for the leaching environmental assessment framework (LEAF) test methods. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

- Guide, D., 2010. Soft Soil Stabilisation: EuroSoilStab: Development of Design and Construction Methods to Stabilise Soft Organic Soils.
- ITRC (Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council). 2011. Development of Performance Specifications for Solidification/Stabilization. S/S-1. Washington, D.C.: Interstate Technology & Regulatory Council, Solidification/Stabilization Team. United States, 2011 www.itrcweb.org.
- Irene M.C., (1996). Solidification/Stabilization of Phenolic Waste Using Organic-Clay Complex. Technical Paper, 6 pages. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). Hong Kong University of Science and Technol., Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong.
- Jayaram, V., Marks, M.D., Schindler, R.M., Olean, T.J. and Walsh, E., 2002. In Situ Soil Stabilization of a Former MGP Site. Portland Cement Association: Skokie, IL, USA.
- Kosson, D.S., Garrabrants, A.C., van der Sloot, H.A. and Brown, K.G., 2014, March. Application of the New US EPA Leaching Environmental Assessment Framework (LEAF) to DOE Environmental Management Challenges–14383. In WM2014 Conference, March (pp. 2-6).
- LaGrega, M.D., Buckingham, P.L. and Evans, J.C., 2010. Hazardous waste management. Waveland Press.
- Larsson, S., 2005. State of Practice Report–Execution, monitoring and quality control. Deep Mixing, 5, pp.732-785.
- Malusis, M.A., Evans, J.C., McLane, M.H. and Woodward, N.R., 2008. A miniature cone for measuring the slump of soil-bentonite cutoff wall backfill. Geotechnical Testing Journal, 31(5), pp.373-380.
- Mitchell, J.K., (1976) “The Properties of Cement-Stabilized Soils,” Proc. Residential Workshop on Materials and Methods for Low Cost Road, Rail, and Reclamation Works, Leura, Australia, published by Unisearch Ltd., University of South Wales, September 6 – 10.
- Navin, M.P. and Filz, G.M., 2006. Reliability of deep mixing method columns for embankment support. In GeoCongress 2006: Geotechnical Engineering in the Information Technology Age (pp. 1-6).
- O’Brien, A., 2019. Some observations on the design and construction of wet soil mixing in the UK. In Proceedings of the XVII European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Geotechnical Engineering foundation of the Future.
- Quickfall, G., Okada, W. and Morrison, T., 2014. Ground Improvement using Turbojet Deep Soil Mixing-Case Study. Screening, 2(3), p.4.
- Raj, D.S.S; Rekha, C.A.P, Bindhu, V.H; Anjaneyulu, Y., 2005. Stabilisation and Solidification technologies for the remediation of contaminated soils and sediments: an overview. Land Contamination & Reclamation, 13 (1). Center for Environment, Institute of Science and Technology, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University (JNTU). India, 2005.
- Ruffing, D.G., Sheleheda, M.J. and Schindler, R.M., 2012. A case study: unreinforced soil mixing for excavation support and bearing capacity improvement. In Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012 (pp. 410-416).
- Ruffing, D., Swackhamer, T., and Panucci, D. 2017. “A Case Study: Soil Mixing for Soft Ground Improvement at a Landfill”. 31st Central Pennsylvania Geotechnical Conference. Hershey, PA. January 2017.
- Ryan, C. and Jasperse, B.H., 1989, June. Deep soil mixing at the Jackson lake dam. In ASCE Geotechnical and Construction Divisions Special Conference (Vol. 5, pp. 25-29).
- Ryan, C.R. and Jasperse, B.H., 1991. Closure to “Deep Soil Mixing at Jackson Lake Dam” by Christopher R. Ryan and Brian H. Jasperse (June 25–29, 1989, ASCE Geotech. and Constr. Div. Special Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill.). Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 117(12), pp.1978-1979

- Ryan, Christopher R., and A. Walker. "Soil Mixing for Soil Improvement—Two Case Studies." In Proceedings of Soil Modification Conference, Louisville, KY.[1]. 1992.
- Terashi, M., 1997. Theme lecture: Deep mixing method-Brief state of the art. In Proc. 14th ICSMFE (Vol. 4, pp. 2475-2478).
- UK. Environment Agency, (2004). Review of scientific literature on the use of stabilisation/solidification for the treatment of contaminated soil, solid waste and sludges. Science Report SC980003/SR2. United Kingdom. November 2004.
- U.S Department of Defense (DoD), (2000). Use of Sorbent Materials for Treating Hazardous Waste. Environmental Security Technology Certification Program. ESTCP, Cost and Performance Report (CP-9515). United States. March 2000.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, 3rd edition; SW-846, Method 3050B; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC, 1992.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (2009). Technology Performance Review: Selecting and Using Solidification/Stabilization Treatment for Site Remediation. National Risk Management Research Laboratory Office of Research and Development. Cincinnati, OH 45268.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2017. "SW-846 Test Method 1314: Liquid-Solid Partitioning as a Function of Liquid-Solid Ratio for Constituents in Solid Materials Using An Up-Flow Percolation Column Procedure" < <https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/sw-846-test-method-1314-liquid-solid-partitioning-function-liquid-solid-ratio-constituents>>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2017. "SW-846 Test Method 1315: Mass Transfer Rates of Constituents in Monolithic or Compacted Granular Materials Using a Semi-Dynamic Tank Leaching Procedure" < <https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/sw-846-test-method-1315-mass-transfer-rates-constituents-monolithic-or-compacted-granular>>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2017. "SW-846 Test Method 1316: Liquid-Solid Partitioning as a Function of Liquid-to-Solid Ratio in Solid Materials Using a Parallel Batch Procedure" < <https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/sw-846-test-method-1316-liquid-solid-partitioning-function-liquid-solid-ratio-solid>>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. Test method for evaluating solid Waste, physical/chemical methods (SW-846), 3rd edition, update 2B. Environmental Protection Agency, National Center for Environmental Publications, Cincinnati, OH 45268.
- Yamanobe, J., Endo, M. and Komiya, K., 2020. Development of the quick prediction method for the strength of ground improved by jet grouting. Japanese Geotechnical Society Special Publication, 8(10), pp.410-415.
- Yang, D.S., Luscher, U., Kimoto, I. and Takeshima, S., 1993. SMW wall for seepage control in levee reconstruction.
- Yang, D.S., 2003. Soil–cement walls for excavation support. In Earth Retention Systems 2003: A Joint Conference presented by ASCE Metropolitan Section of Geotechnical Group, The Deep Foundations Institute, and The International Association of Foundation Drilling.

Chapter 7

- ASTM D6910 / D6910M – 19, 2019. Standard Test Method for Marsh Funnel Viscosity of Construction Slurries, American Society of Testing and Materials, W. Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM C 939-10, 2010. Standard test method for flow of grout for preplaced-aggregate concrete (flow cone method), American Society of Testing and Materials, W. Conshohocken, PA
- Chun, B.S. and Kim, J.C., 1998. The Evaluation of Toxic Effect of Grouting Materials by Fish Poison Test. Journal of The Korean Society of Civil Engineers, 18(3_4), pp.531-531.

- Bonacci, O., Gottstein, S. and Roje-Bonacci, T., 2009. Negative impacts of grouting on the underground karst environment. *Ecohydrology: Ecosystems, Land and Water Process Interactions, Ecohydrogeomorphology*, 2(4), pp.492-502.
- Bowen, R., 1975. *Grouting in Engineering Practice*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Bérigny, C., 1832. *Mémoire sur un procédé d'injection propre à prévenir ou arrêter les filtrations sous les fondations des ouvrages hydrauliques*. Chez Carilian-Goeury.
- Bruce, D.A., 2015. *Remedial Cutoff Walls for Dams: Great Leaps and Wolf Creek*. IFCEE 2015. San Antonio, TX.
- Bruce, D.A., Dreese, T.L. and Heenan, D.M., 2008, April. Concrete walls and grout curtains in the twenty-first century: the concept of composite cut-offs for seepage control. In *USSD 2008 Conference*, Portland, OR, April.
- De Paoli, B., Bosco, B., Granata, R. and Bruce, D.A., 1992. Fundamental observations on cement based grouts (1): traditional materials. *Proceedings of Grouting, Soil Improvement and Geosynthetics*, New Orleans, La, pp.25-28.
- Gemmi, B., Morelli, G. and Bares, F.A., 2003. Geophysical investigations to assess the outcome of soil modification work: measuring percentile variations of soil resistivity to assess the successful modification of foundation soil by jet grouting. In *Grouting and Ground Treatment* (pp. 1490-1506).
- Glossop, Rudolph. "The invention and development of injection processes Part II: 1850–1960." *Geotechnique* 11, no. 4 (1961): 255-279.
- Glossop, Rudolph. "The rise of geotechnology and its influence on engineering practice." *Géotechnique* 18, no. 2 (1968): 107-150.
- Hagmar, L., Tornqvist, M., and Nordander, C. (2001). Health effects of occupational exposure to acrylamide using hemoglobin adducts as biomarkers of internal dose. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment, and Health*, 27(4), 219-226.
- Hausmann, M. R. (1990). *Engineering Principles of Ground Modification*. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York.
- Karol, R.H. (1983). "Chemical Grouting" USA: New York and Basel Inc.
- Karol, R. H. (1990). *Chemical Grouting: Second Edition, Revised and Expanded*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Kazemian, Sina, and Bujang BK Huat. "Assessment and comparison of grouting and injection methods in geotechnical engineering." *European Journal of Scientific Research* 27, no. 2 (2009): 234-247.
- Klinghoffer, J., and Hoppenmeier, L.Z (2002) Grout uses for crowd control, *Police Academy Digest*, 81(7), pp. 23-32.
- Littlejohn, Stuart. "The development of practice in permeation and compensation grouting: a historical review (1802–2002): part 1 permeation grouting." In *Grouting and Ground Treatment*, pp. 50-99. 2003.
- Lombardi, G., (1985) "The Role of Cohesion in Cement Grouting of Rock," *Commission Internationale des Grands Barrages, 15eme Congres des Grands Barrages, Lausanne*, pp.Q.58-R.13.
- Maag, E. "Ueber die Verfestigung und Dichtung des Baugrundes (Injektionen)." *Course on soil mech.*, Zurich Tech. School (1938).
- Magill, D., and R. Berry. "Comparison of Chemical Grout Properties, Which Grout Can be Used Where and Why." *Avanti International and Rembco Geotechnical Contractors* (2006).

- Mirghasemi, A., Heidarzadeh, M., Etemadzadeh, M., and Pakzad, M (2004). Results and Experiences obtained from chemical grout testing in part of conglomerate foundation of Karkheh Dam – Iran. *New Developments in Dam Engineering*, 627-634.
- Moseley, M. P. *Ground Improvement*. United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis, 1993.
- Nonveiller, Ervin. *Grouting theory and practice*. Elsevier, 2013.
- Peck, R. B. (1969). Advantages and limitations of the observational method in applied soil mechanics. *Geotechnique* 19(2)
- Siwula, John M., and Raymond J. Krizek. "Permanence of grouted sands exposed to various water chemistries." *Geotechnical Special Publication* 2, no. 30 (1992): 1403-1419.
- Terzaghi, K., 1925. Principles of soil mechanics. *Engineering News-Record*, 95(19-27), pp.19-32.
- Xanthakos, Petros P., Lee W. Abramson, and Donald A. Bruce. *Ground control and improvement*. John Wiley & Sons, 1994.

Chapter 8

- ASTM, ASTM. "C143/C143M-15." Standard Test Method for Slump of Hydraulic-Cement Concrete), ASTM Standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA
- Barker, P., Esnault, A. and Braithwaite, P., 1997. Containment barrier at pride park, Derby, England (No. CONF-970208--PROC).
- Bellew, G.M., Koirala, A.K., Dillon, J.C. and Mathews, D.L., 2012. Tuttle Creek Dam Seismic Remediation with High Strength CB Slurry Walls. In *Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012*(pp. 291-300).
- Bergstrom, W.R., 1990. Fly ash utilization in soil-bentonite slurry trench cutoff walls. Building Research Establishment, 1994. "Slurry trench cut-off walls to contain contamination," BRE Digest 395, July.
- Evans, J.C., Fang, H.Y. and Kugelman, I.J., 1985, November. Containment of hazardous materials with soil-bentonite slurry walls. In *Proceedings of the 6th National Conference on the Management of Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites* (pp. 249-252).
- Evans, J.C., Costa, M.J. and Cooley, B., 2000. *Geoenvironment 2000*, 1173-1191.
- Evans, J. C., M. J. Prince, and T. L. Adams. Metals attenuation in mineral-enhanced slurry walls. No. CONF-970208--PROC. 1997
- Evans, J.C. and Dawson, A.R., 1999, December. Slurry walls for control of contaminant migration: A comparison of UK and US practices. In *Geo-Engineering for Underground Facilities* (pp. 105-120). ASCE.
- Evans, J.C. and Opdyke, S.M., 2006. Strength, permeability, and compatibility of slag-cement-bentonite slurry wall mixtures for constructing vertical barriers. In *5th ICEG Environmental Geotechnics: Opportunities, Challenges and Responsibilities for Environmental Geotechnics: Proceedings of the ISSMGE's fifth international congress organized by the Geoenvironmental Research Centre, Cardiff University and held at Cardiff City Hall on 26–30th June 2006* (pp. 118-125). Thomas Telford Publishing.
- Evans, J.C., Larrahondo, J.M. and Yeboah, N. 2020. Fate of bentonite in slag-cement-bentonite slurry trench cut-off walls. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers -Environmental Geotechnics*, 2020.
- Filz, G.M., 1996. Consolidation stresses in soil-bentonite backfilled trenches. In *Environmental geotechnics* (pp. 497-502).
- Filz, G.M., Boyer, R.D. and Davidson, R.R., 1997. Bentonite-water slurry rheology and cutoff wall trench stability (No. CONF-971032-). American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA (United States).

- Filz, G.M., Evans, J.C. and Britton, J.P., 2003, June. Soil-bentonite hydraulic conductivity: measurement and variability. In Proceedings of the 12th Pan American Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Cambridge, Mass (pp. 22-26).
- Filz, G.M., Adams, T. and Davidson, R.R., 2004. Stability of long trenches in sand supported by bentonite-water slurry. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 130(9), pp.915-921.
- Fox, P.J., 2004. Analytical solutions for stability of slurry trench. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 130(7), pp.749-758.
- Garvin, S.L. and Hayles, C.S., 1999. The chemical compatibility of cement–bentonite cut-off wall material. *Construction and Building Materials*, 13(6), pp.329-341.
- Glass, P. and Stones, C., 2001. Construction of Westminster Station, London. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Structures and Buildings*, 146(3), pp.237-252.
- ICE (Institution of Civil Engineers), 1999. Specification for the construction of slurry trench cut-off walls as barriers to pollution migration.
- Jefferis, S.A., 1981, June. Bentonite-cement slurries for hydraulic cut-offs. In Proceedings, Tenth International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Stockholm, Sweden (Vol. 1, pp. 435-440).
- Jefferis, S.A., 1993. In-ground barriers. *Contaminated land-problems and solutions*, pp.111-140.
- Jefferis, S.A., 1997. The origins of the slurry trench cut-off and a review of cement-bentonite cut-off walls in the UK (No. CONF-970208--PROC).
- Jefferis, S., 2012. Cement-bentonite slurry systems. In *Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012* (pp. 1-24).
- Kapp, M.S., 1969. Slurry-trench construction for basement wall of World Trade Center. *Civil Engineering*.
- LaGrega, M.D., Buckingham, P.L. and Evans, J.C., 2010. Hazardous waste management. Waveland Press.
- Khandelwal, A., Rabideau, A.J. and Shen, P., 1998. Analysis of diffusion and sorption of organic solutes in soil-bentonite barrier materials. *Environmental science & technology*, 32(9), pp.1333-1339.
- Malusis, M.A., Evans, J.C., McLane, M.H. and Woodward, N.R., 2008. A miniature cone for measuring the slump of soil-bentonite cutoff wall backfill. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*, 31(5), pp.373-380.
- Malusis, M.A., McKeehan, M.D. and LaFredo, R.A., 2010. Multiswellable bentonite for soil-bentonite vertical barriers. In Proceedings of the 6th International Congress on Environmental Geotechnics, 6th ICEG, November (pp. 8-12).
- Mitchell, J.K. and Soga, K., 2005. *Fundamentals of soil behavior* (Vol. 3). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Nash, K.L., 1974. Stability of trenches filled with fluids. *Journal of the Construction Division*, 100(4), pp.533-542.
- Opdyke, S.M. and Evans, J.C., 2005. Slag-cement-bentonite slurry walls. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental Engineering*, 131(6), pp.673-681.
- Owaidat, L.M., Andromalos, K.B., Sisley, J.L. and Civil Engineer, U., 1999, October. Construction of a soil–cement–bentonite slurry wall for a levee strengthening program. In Proceedings of the 1999 Annual Conference of the Association of State Dam Safety Officials, St. Louis, Mo (pp. 10-13).
- Pitt, M.J., 2000. The Marsh funnel and drilling fluid viscosity: a new equation for field use. *SPE Drilling & Completion*, 15(01), pp.3-6.

- Ressi di Cervia, A.L., 1992. History of slurry wall construction. ASTM Special Technical Publication, 1129, pp. 3-15.
- Ruffing, D.G., 2009. A reevaluation of the state of stress in soil-bentonite slurry trench cutoff walls (Master's Thesis, Bucknell University).
- Ruffing, D.G., Evans, J.C. and Malusis, M.A., 2010. Prediction of earth pressures in soil-bentonite cutoff walls. In *GeoFlorida 2010: Advances in Analysis, Modeling & Design* (pp. 2416-2425).
- Ruffing, D.G. and Evans, J.C., 2010. In situ evaluation of a shallow soil bentonite slurry trench cutoff wall. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Congress on Environmental Geotechnics* (pp. 758-763).
- Ruffing, D.G., Evans, J.C., Spillane, V.A. and Malusis, M.A., 2016. The Use of Filter Press Tests in Soil-Bentonite Slurry Trench Construction. In *Geo-Chicago 2016* (pp. 590-597).
- RWE Power International, 2011. Diaphragm Containment Walls using PFA at Bedfont Lakes, Middlesex, Generation Aggregates Electron, Windmill Hill Business Park, Whitehill Way, Swindon, Wiltshire SN5 6PB, United Kingdom.
- Structural Engineering Institute. 2000. Effective analysis of diaphragm walls. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers.
- Taki, O. and Yang, D.S., 1991. Soil-cement mixed wall technique. In *Geotechnical Engineering Congress—1991* (pp. 298-309). ASCE.
- Tsai, J.S. and Chang, J.C., 1996. Three-dimensional stability analysis for slurry-filled trench wall in cohesionless soil. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 33(5), pp.798-808.
- Tamaro, G.J., 2002. World trade center" bathtub": from Genesis to Armageddon. *Bridge*, 32(1), pp.11-17.
- Xanthakos, P., 1979. *Slurry Walls*, McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Xanthakos, P.P., 1994. *Slurry walls as structural systems*, McGraw-Hill Book Co.
- Yeo, S.S., Shackelford, C.D. and Evans, J.C., 2005. Consolidation and hydraulic conductivity of nine model soil-bentonite backfills. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 131(10), pp.1189-1198.

Chapter 9

- AASHTO M288-17 (2017) Geotextile Specifications and Highway Applications – in Standard Specification for Transportation Materials and Methods of Sampling and Testing, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC
- Adams, M.T. and Collin, J.G., 1997. Large model spread footing load tests on geosynthetic reinforced soil foundations. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 123(1), pp.66-72.
- Akins, K. (2012) Personal communication. Tensar Corporation.
- ASTM D698 - 12e1 (2012) Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D1586 – 18 (2018) Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA,
- ASTM D1883 (2016) Standard Test Method for CBR (California Bearing Ratio) of Laboratory-Compacted Soils, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA,
- ASTM D4594 (2009) Standard Test Method for Effects of Temperature on Stability of Geotextiles. American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D4595 (2017) Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Geotextiles by the Wide-Width Strip Method, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA

- ASTM standard D5321 - 20 (2020) Standard Test Method for Determining the Coefficient of Soil and Geosynthetic or Geosynthetic and Geosynthetic Friction by the Direct Shear Method, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D5322 - 17 (2017) Standard Practice for Immersion Procedures for Evaluating the Chemical Resistance of Geosynthetics to Liquids, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D6213 - 17 (2017) Standard Practice for Tests to Evaluate the Chemical Resistance of Geogrids to Liquids, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D6389 - 17 (2017) Standard Practice for Tests to Evaluate the Chemical Resistance of Geotextiles to Liquids, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D6637 (2015) Standard Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Geogrids by the Single of Multi-Rib Tensile Method, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- ASTM D6706 - 13 (2013) Standard Test Method for Measuring Geosynthetic Pullout Resistance in Soil, American Society of Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA
- Berg, R.R., Christopher, B.R, Samtani, N.C., (2009) Design and Construction of Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes – Volume I, NHI Publication No. FHWA-NHI-10-024, Washington, D.C.
- Binquet, J., Lee, K.L. (1975) Bearing capacity analysis of reinforced earth slabs. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, 101 (12), 1257-1276.
- Bonaparte, R., Berg, R., (1987) Long-Term Allowable Design Loads for Geosynthetic Soil Reinforcement, Proceedings of Geosynthetics '87, IFAI, Vol. 1, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, p181-192.
- Bowles, J.E. (1996) Foundation Analysis and Design, 5th ed., McGraw-Hill, NY
- Bush, D.I., Jenner, C.G. and Bassett, R.H., 1990. The design and construction of geocell foundation mattresses supporting embankments over soft grounds. Geotextiles and Geomembranes, 9(1), pp.83-98.
- California Test 301 (2000) Method for determining the resistance “R” value of treated and untreated bases, subbases, and basement soils by the stabilometer, California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, CA.
- Caltrans (2009) Guide for Designing Subgrade Enhancement Geotextiles, California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, CA.
- Carroll Jr., R. G., Chouery-Curtis, V. (1990) Geogrid Connections, Geotextiles and Geomembranes, v9, no. 4-6, p 515-530, Conference: Seaming of Geosynthetics
- Cazzuffi, D., Moraci, N., Calvarano, L. S., Cardile, G., Giofr , D., Recalcati P. (2014). "European experience in pullout tests: Part 2 - The influence of vertical effective stress and of geogrid length on interface behaviour under pullout conditions", "Geosynthetics" magazine, Vol.32, No.2, pp. 40-50.
- Cedergren, H. (1987) "Undrained Pavements: A Costly Blunder", ASCE Civil Engineering, v57, Apr, p6.
- Cedergren, H. (1994) "Americas Pavements: World's Longest Bathtubs", ASCE Civil Engineering, v64, Sep, p56-58.
- Chen, R.-H., Chi, P.-C., Wu, T.-C., Ho, C-C. (2010) Shear strength of continuous-filament reinforced sand, Journal of GeoEngineering, v6, no. 2, pp. p99-107.
- Chin, T.Y., Sew, G.S. (2000) Design and Construction Control of Embankment over Soft Cohesive Soils, SOGISC-Seminar on Ground Improvement-Soft Clay, (23 – 24 August 2000),

- Christopher, B.R., Schwartz, C., Boudreau, R. (2006) Geotechnical Aspects of Pavements, FHWA report NHI-05-037, National Highway Institute, Federal Highway Administration U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- Coduto, D. (1999) Geotechnical Engineering, Prentice Hall, NJ
- Consoli, N.C., Casagrande, M.D.T., Prietto, P.D.M., Thome, A. (2003) Plate Load Test on Fiber-Reinforced Soil. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* (129) Part 10, 951-955.
- Das, B.M. (2009) Shallow foundations, bearing capacity and settlement 2nd ed., CRC Press / Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA
- Dash, S.K, Rajagopal, K., Krishnaswamy, N.R. (2004) Performance of different geosynthetic reinforcement materials in sand foundations, *Geosynthetics International*, v11, no. 1, p35–42
- Dash, S.K., Krishnaswamy, N.R., Rajagopal, K. 2001. Bearing capacity of strip footings supported on geocell-reinforced sand. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 19: 235-256.
- Duncan, J.M, Buchignani, A.L. (1987) An engineering manual for settlement studies, University of California - Berkeley, USA, 188p.
- Dunnicliff, J., 1993, Geotechnical Instrumentation for Monitoring Field Performance, NCHRP Synthesis 89, Transportation Research Board.
- Dunnicliff, J., 1998, Geotechnical Instrumentation Reference Manual, NHI Course No. 13241, Module 11. FHWA-HI-98-034, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation.
- Elias, V., Christopher, B.R., Berg, R. (2001) Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes, U.S. Department of Transportation, Publication No. FHWA-NHI-00-043, Federal Highway Administration, NHI Course No. 132042
- Elton, D.J. (2001) Soils Magic, Geotechnical special publication 114, American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA
- Evans, J., Elton, D., Ruffing, D (2009) Interior Spaces to Accommodate Geotechnical Engineering Personnel, *Journal of Universal Rejection*, v9, no. 12, p45-51
- FHWA (1989) Geotextile Design Examples, Geoservices, Inc. report to the Federal Highway Administration, Contract no. DTFH-86-R-00102, Washington, DC
- FHWA (1993) “EMBANK,” Computer Program, User’s Manual Publication No. FHWA-SA-92-045, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.
- FHWA (2000) Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes Design and Construction Guidelines, FHWA NHI 00 043, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.
- FHWA (2008). Geosynthetic Design and Construction Guidelines. NHI Course No. 132013. Publication No. FHWA NHI-06-116
- Fragaszy, R., Lawton, E. (1984). “Bearing capacity of reinforced sand subgrades.” *J. Geotech. Engrg.*, ASCE, 110(10), 1500-1511.
- Frost, J.D., Han, J. (1999) Behavior of Interfaces between Fiber-Reinforced Polymers and Sands. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 112 (8), 804-820.
- Giroud, J.P., Noiray, L. (1981) "Geotextile-Reinforced Unpaved Road Design", *ASCE Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, v 107, GT9, p1233 - 1254, and discussions (v108, GT12, p1654 - 1665).
- Han, J., Akins, K. (2002) “Use of geogrid-reinforced and pile-supported earth structures”, *Geotechnical Special Publication No. 116*, ASCE, 668-679.

- Han, J. and Gabr, M.A., 2002. Numerical analysis of geosynthetic-reinforced and pile-supported earth platforms over soft soil. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 128(1), pp.44-53.
- Han, J., Pokharel, S.K., Parsons, R.L., Leshchinsky, D., Halahmi, I. (2010). Effect of infill material on the performance of geocell-reinforced bases. *Geosynthetics for A Challenging World*, E.M. Palmeira, D.M. Vidal, A.S.J.F. Sayao, M. Ehrlich (eds.), Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Geosynthetics, Brazil, May 23-27, 2010, 1503-150.
- Holtz, R. D., Christopher, B. R., Berg, R. R. (1995) *Geosynthetic Design and Construction Guidelines*, Federal Highway Administration, FHWA HI-95-038, Washington, DC
- Holtz, R.D, Christopher; B.R, Berg, R.B. (1998) *Geosynthetic design & construction guidelines: participant notebook*, National Highway Institute, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, National Highway Institute,
- Holtz, R.D., Kovacs, W. D., Sheahan, T. (2011) *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, 2nd edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- Holtz, R.D., Sivakugan, N. (1987) "Design Charts for Roads with Geotextiles", *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, v5, p191-199.
- Holtz, R.R. (1989) Treatment of problem foundations for highway embankments, *Synthesis of highway practice 147*, NCHRP, TRB, Washington, D.C., 72pp.
- Huang, C.C., Menq, F.Y.(1997) Deep-footing and wide-slab effects in reinforced sandy ground, *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 123 (1): 30-36
- Huang, C.C., Tatsuoka, F. (1990). "Bearing capacity of reinforced horizontal sandy ground." *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 9, 51-82.
- Kadolph, S.J. (2010); *Textiles*, 11th Edition, Prentice Hall, NJ
- Koerner, R.M. (2005) *Designing with Geosynthetics*, 5th edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, NY
- Koerner, R.M. (2012) *Designing with Geosynthetics*, 6th edition, Xlibris Corporation, www.xlibris.com
- Kumar, A. Ohri, M.; Bansal, R. (2007). Bearing capacity tests of strip footings on reinforced layered soil, *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, Volume 25, Number 2, p139-150
- Kumar, A., Saran, S. (2003) Bearing capacity of rectangular footing on reinforced soil, *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, v21. no. 3, p201-224.
- Latha, G.M., Murthy, V.S. (2007) Effects of reinforcement form on the behavior of geosynthetic reinforced sand, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, v25 (2007), p23-32
- Li, J., Ding, D.W. (2002) Nonlinear Elastic Behavior of Fiber-Reinforced Soil under Cyclic Loading. *Soil dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* (22), no.9-12, 977-983.
- Madhavi Latha, G. (2011) Design of geocell reinforcement for supporting embankments on soft ground, *Geomechanics and Engineering*, v 3, n 2, p 117-130
- Moghaddas Tafreshia, S.N., Dawson, A. (2010) Comparison of bearing capacity of a strip footing on sand with geocell and with planar forms of geotextile reinforcement, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, v28, no. 1, p72-84
- Mosallanezhad M., Nasiri I. (2015) A Novel Reinforcement to Improve the Bearing Capacity of Soil Investigation. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology Sciences*, v 3, pp 123-134
- Omar, M.T., Das, B.M, Yen, S.C., Puri, V.K., Cook, E.E. (1993) Ultimate bearing capacity of rectangular foundations on geogrid-reinforced sand, *ASTM Geotechnical Testing Journal*, v16, no.2, p246-252.

- Park, T., Tan, S.A. (2005) Enhanced Performance of Reinforced Soil Walls by the Inclusion of Short Fiber. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes* (23), 348-361.
- Qian, X., Koerner, R.M., Gray, D.H. (2002) *Geotechnical Aspects of Landfill Design and Construction*, Prentice Hall, NJ
- Rajagopal, K., Krishnaswamy, N.R., Madhavi Latha, G. (1999) Behaviour of sand confined with single and multiple geocells, *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, v17, no. 3, p171-184
- Riordan, N.J. & Seaman, J.W. (1993) "Highway Embankments over Soft Compressible Alluvial Deposits: Guidelines for Design and Construction". Contractor Report 341, Transport Research Laboratory, Department of Transport, U.K.
- Rowe, R.K., Li, L.L. (2005). Geosynthetic-reinforced embankments over soft foundations, *Geosynthetics International, Special Issue on the Giroud Lectures*, 12, No. 1, p50-85.
- Sale, J.P., Parker, F., Jr., Barker, W. (1973) Membrane Encapsulated Soil Layers, *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, Vol. 99, No. 12, pp. 1077-1090
- Santoni, R.L., J.S. Tingle and S.L. Webster, 2001. Engineering Properties of Sand-Fiber Mixtures for Road Construction. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* 127 (3), 258-268.
- Shin, E.C., Das, B.M (2000) Experimental study of bearing capacity of a strip foundation on geogrid-reinforced sand, *Geosynthetics International*, v7. no.1, p59ff.
- Shin, E.C., Das, B.M., Lee, E.S., Atalar, C. (2002) Bearing capacity of strip foundation on geogrid-reinforced sand, *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, Kluwer, v20, p169.180.
- Shukla, S.K., Sivakugan, N., Das, B.J. (2009) Fundamental concepts of soil reinforcement – an overview, *ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, v3, p329.342.
- Shukla, S.K, Yin, J-H. (2006) *Fundamentals of Geosynthetic Engineering*, Taylor and Francis, London.
- Silvestri, V. (1983) Bearing Capacity of Dykes and Fills Founded on Soft Soils of Limited Thickness, *Canadian geotechnical journal*, v20, no. 3, p428-436.
- Sitharam, T.G., Sireesh, S. (2006) Effects of base geogrid on geocell-reinforced foundation beds, *Geomechanics and Geoengineering*, v1, no. 3, p207-216
- Sowers, G.F., Sally, H.L. (1962) *Earth and Rockfill Dam Engineering*, Asia Publishers, NY.
- Sprague, C.J., Goodrum, R.A. (1994) Selecting standard test methods for experimental evaluation of geosynthetic durability, *Transportation Research Record* 1439, p32-40, National Research Council, Washington, DC, United States
- Steward, J.E., Williamson, R., and Mohney, J., (1977), *Guidelines for Use of Fabrics in Construction and Maintenance of Low-Volume Roads*, FHWA Report No FHWA-TS-78-205.
- Suvorova, Y.V., Alekseeva, S.I. (2010) Experimental and analytical methods for estimating durability of geosynthetic materials, *Journal of Machinery Manufacture and Reliability*, Vol. 39, no. 4, p391-395
- TM 5-822-5 (1992) *Pavement Design for Roads, Streets, Walks, and Open Storage Areas*, TM 5-822-5/AFM 88-7, Chapter 1, Joint Departments of the Army and the Air Force, Washington, DC
- US Department of Defense (2004) *Engineering Use of Geotextiles*, UFC 3-220-08FA 16, US Department of Defense, Washington, DC (also US Army (1995) *Engineering use of Geotextiles*, ARMY TM 5-818-8, US Department of Defense, Washington, DC)
- US Navy (1986) *Design Manual 7.01, Naval Facilities Engineering Command*, Alexandria, VA
- USACE (2003) *Use of Geogrids in Pavement Construction*, ETL 1110-1-189, Department of the Army, US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC

- Vipulanandan, C., Bilgin, Ö., Y Jeannot Ahossin Guezo, Vembu, K., Erten, M. B. (2009) Prediction of Embankment Settlement Over Soft Soils, Report 0-5530-1, FHWA/TX-09/0-5530-1, Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implementation Office, Austin, Texas
- Wayne, M. H., Han, J., Akins, K. (1998). The design of geosynthetic reinforced foundations, in Design and Construction of Retaining Systems, ASCE Geo-Institute Geotechnical Special Publication, No. 76, edited by John J. Bowders et al., 1-18.
- Webster, S.L. (1979) Investigation of construction concepts across soft ground, US Army Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi Report S-79-20
- Webster, S. L. (1993). "Geogrid Reinforced Base Courses for Flexible Pavements for Light Aircraft: Test Section Construction, Behavior Under Traffic, Laboratory Tests, and Design Criteria," Technical Report GL-93-6, U.S. Army, Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS
- Webster, S.L. and R.L. Santoni. 1997. Contingency Airfield and Road Construction using Geosynthetic Fiber Stabilization of Sands. Tech. Rep. GL-97-4, U.S. Army Engr. Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Miss.
- Webster, S.L, Watkins, J.E. (1977) Investigation of construction techniques for tactical bridge approach roads across soft ground, US Army Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi Report S-77-1
- Yetimoglu, T., Wu, J.T.H., Saglamer, A. (1994). "Bearing capacity of rectangular footings on geogrid-reinforced sand." Journal of Geotechnical Engineering., ASCE, v120, no. 12, p2083-2099.
- Yourman, A.M. Jr., Diaz, C.M., Gilbert, G.K. (2006) Jet Grouted Settlement Isolation Wall at the Henry Ford Avenue Grade Separation, GeoCongress 2006, Geotechnical Engineering in the Information Technology Age, DeGroot, D.J, DeJong, J.T, Frost, D., Baise, L.G. eds,

Chapter 10

- ASTM D 5321, 2008. Standard test method for determining the coefficient of soil and geosynthetic or geosynthetic and geosynthetic friction by the direct shear method.
- Barrett, C.E. and Devin, S.C., 2011. Shallow Landslide Repair Analysis Using Ballistic Soil Nails: Translating Simple Sliding Wedge Analyses into PC-Based Limit Equilibrium Models. In Geo-Frontiers 2011: Advances in Geotechnical Engineering (pp. 1703-1713).
- Barrett, R., 2007. Personal communication.
- Been, D.A. (2011) Personal communication. Birmingham, AL.
- Berg, R.R., Christopher, B.R., Samtani, N.C. and Berg, R.R., 2009. Design of mechanically stabilized earth walls and reinforced soil slopes—Volume I (No. FHWA-NHI-10-024). United States. Federal Highway Administration.
- Bernard, S. (ed.) (2010) Shotcrete: Elements of a System, CRC Press / Taylor and Francis Group, London.
- Bowles, J.E. 1996, Foundation Analysis and Design, 5th ed., McGraw-Hill, NY
- Cheung, W.M. and Lo, D.O.K, 2005. Use of carbon fibre reinforced polymer reinforcement in soil nailing works. Proceedings of the HKIE Geotechnical Division 25th Annual Seminar: Safe and Green Slopes. The Hong Kong Institution of Engineers, Hong Kong, pp. 175-184.
- Coduto, D.P., Kitch, W.A. and Yeung, M.C.R., 2001. Foundation design: principles and practices (Vol. 2). Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.
- Das, B.M., 2015. Principles of foundation engineering. Cengage learning.
- Dewey, R. L., 1989. The Bureau of Reclamation Uses Geosynthetics. Geotechnical News, Vol 7, No. 2, pp 39-42.

- Duncan, J.M., Buchignani, A.L. and DeWet, M., 1987. An engineering manual for slope stability studies. Department of Civil Engineering. Geotechnical Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.
- Elias, V. and Christopher, B.R. (1996). "Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Earth Slopes. Report FHWA-DP. 82-1, Federal Highway Administration.
- Elias, V., Christopher, B.R., and Berg, R.R., 2001. Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes: Design and Construction Guidelines (Updated Version) (No. FHWA-NHI-00-043). United States. Federal Highway Administration.
- Elias, V. and Juran, I., 1991. "Soil Nailing for Stabilization of Highway Slopes and Excavations," Publication FHWA-RD-89-198, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C.
- Elias, V., Fishman, K., Christopher, B.R., and Berg, R.R., 2009. Corrosion/degradation of soil reinforcements for mechanically stabilized earth walls and reinforced soil slopes (No. FHWA-NHI-09-087). National Highway Institute (US).
- Engemoen, W.O. and Hensley, P.J., 1989. Geogrid steepened slopes at Davis Creek dam. In Proceedings of Geosynthetics' 89 Conference (pp. 255-268).
- Fang, H.-Y. 1990. Foundation Engineering Handbook, van Nostrand Reinhold, NJ, USA
- FHWA, 1975. Retaining Walls Lateral Support Systems and Underpinning, Vols. 1,2, and 3, FHWA-RD-75-128 / 129 / 130.
- FHWA, 1989. Geotextile design examples, Geoservices Inc. report to the Federal Highway Administration, Contract no. DTFH-86-R-00102, Washington, DC
- FHWA, 1994. Application guide for launched soil nails, volume 1, US Federal Highway Administration, FHWA-FPL-93-003, Washington, DC
- FHWA 1998. Manual for Design and Construction of Soil Nail Walls, FHWA-SA-96-069R, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, USA
- FHWA 1999. Demonstration Project 103: Design and Construction Monitoring of Soil Nail Walls, Project Summary Report, FHWA-IF-99-026, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, USA
- FHWA 2003. Soil Nail Walls, Geotechnical Engineering Circular no. 7, FHWA0-IF-03-017, by Lazarte, C.A. Elias, V, Espinoza, D., Sabatini, P., US Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC
- FHWA 2018. Design and Construction Guidelines for Geosynthetic Reinforced Soil Abutments and Integrated Bridge Systems, US Federal Highway Administration, publ, no, FHWA-HRT-17-080, Washington, DC, 204 pp
- Focus, 2011. Focus, Accelerating Infrastructure Innovations, Publication Number: HRT-11-012, September 29, 2014
- Gong, J., Jayawickrama, P.W., Tinkey, Y., 2006, Nondestructive Evaluation of Installed Soil Nails, Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No. 1976, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., pp. 104–113.
- Hall, G.J., 1995. The joint use of ballistic soil nailing and reinforced soil in Huddersfield. In The practice of soil reinforcing in Europe: Proceedings of the symposium The practice of soil reinforcing in Europe organised by the Tenax Group under the auspices of the International Geosynthetics Society, and held at the Institution of Civil Engineers on 18 May 1995 pp. 227-240). Thomas Telford Publishing.
- HCL (Halcrow China Limited), 2007. Study on the Potential Effect of Blockage of Subsurface Drainage by Soil Nailing Works (GEO Report No. 218). Geotechnica Engineering Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department, Hong Kong, 102 p.

- Holtz, R., Kovacs, W. and Sheahan, T., 2011. *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, Pearson Education. Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hong Kong (2008) *Guide to Soil Nail Design and Construction*, Geotechnical Engineering Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department, The Government of the Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region, Homantin, Kowloon, Hong Kong, 97pp.
- Koerner, R.M., 2012a. *Designing with Geosynthetics-; Vol2 Vol. 2)*. Xlibris Corporation.
- Koerner, R. M. 2012b. Personal communication.
- Kramer, S.L. 1996. "Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering," Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Lang, T.A., (1972). "Rock Reinforcement," *Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists*, Vol. IX, No. 3, pp 215-239.
- Lazarte, C.A., Elias, V., Espinoza, D., Sabatini, P., 2003. *Soil Nail Walls*, Geotechnical Engineering Circular no. 7, FHWA0-IF-03-017, US Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC
- Lazarte, C.A., Robinson, H., Gómez, J.E., Baxter, A., Cadden, A., Berg, R., 2015. *Geotechnical Engineering Circular no. 7, Soil Nail Walls - Reference Manual*, FHWA-NHI -14-0, US Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC
- Lee, C.F. and OAP (Ove Arup & Partners Hong Kong Limited), 2007. *Review of Use of Non-destructive Testing in Quality Control in Soil Nailing Works (GEO Report No. 219)*. Geotechnical Engineering Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department, Hong Kong, 109 p.
- Miyata, Y. and Bathurst, R.J., 2007. Development of the K-stiffness method for geosynthetic reinforced soil walls constructed with c- ϕ soils. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 44(12), pp.1391-1416.
- Murthy, V.N.S. (2003) *Geotechnical Engineering: Principles and Practices of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering (Civil and Environmental Engineering)*, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis, London, UK.
- NCHRP (2011) *Proposed Specifications for LFRD Soil-Nailing Design and Construction*, NCHRP Report 701, National Academies Press, Washington, DC, USA
- NCMA, 1993. *Design manual for segmental retaining wall*, 1st ed, National Concrete Masonry Association, Herndon, VA
- North Carolina DoT, 2010. *Standard Soil Nail Wall Provision*, North Carolina Department of Transportation Raleigh, NC, 16pp
- NYS DoT, 2008. *Design procedure for launched soil nail shallow slough treatment*, Geotechnical Design Procedure GDP-14, NY State Department of Transportation, Albany, NY
- NYSDoT, 2007. *Mechanically Stabilized Earth System Inspection Manual*, Geotechnical Engineering Manual, GEM-16 Revision #2, Geotechnical Engineering Bureau, New York State Department of Transportation, Albany, NY.
- PTI, 1996. "Recommendations for Prestressed Rock and Soil Anchors," 3rd ed. Post-Tensioning Institute, Phoenix, Arizona.
- Schnabel, H. (2002) *Tiebacks in Foundation Engineering*, 2nd Ed., CRC Press / Taylor and Francis Group, London.
- Shah, H.J, Lacy, H.S, van Rensler, M.B., 2008. Mechanically stabilized earth for steep surcharge slopes in proximity of adjacent structures to improve compressible soils, paper 8.02a, 6th International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering, Arlington, VA
- Steward, J. E., 1994. Launched soil nails: A new technology for stabilizing failing road shoulders, (Appendix 6.7) in *Slope stability reference guide for national forests in the United States*:

- Volume 3 (EM-710.13). Washington, DC: The Forest Service – U.S. Department of Agriculture, pp. 1064 – 1091.
- Terzaghi, K., Peck, R.B. and Mesri, G., 1996. Soil mechanics in engineering practice. John Wiley & Sons.
- US Navy, 1986. Design manual—Soil mechanics, foundations, and earth structures. NAVFAC DM, 7.01, US Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Alexandria, VA
- USACE, 1993. Manual EM 1110.2-1901, Seepage Control, Appendix D, Filter Design, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, September 30, 1986, revised April 30, 1993.
- USACE 2003. Use of Geogrids in Pavement Construction, ETL 1110-1-189, Department of the Army, US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC
- USACE, 2004. EM 1110.2-2300, General Design and Construction Considerations for Earth and Rock-Fill Dams, Appendix B, Filter Design, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, July 30, 2004.
- US Department of Defense, 2004. Engineering Use of Geotextiles, UFC 3-220-08FA 16, US Department of Defense, Washington, DC (also US Army (1995) Engineering use of Geotextiles, ARMY TM 5-818-8, US Department of Defense, Washington, DC.
- US Department of Transportation, 2015. Soil Nail Walls - Reference Manual, FHWA-NHI-14-007, Federal Highway Administration FHWA GEC 007, Washington, DC
- US Navy, 1986. Design Manual 7.01, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Alexandria, VA.
- Vidal, H., 1969. The principle of reinforced earth. Highway research record, (282).
- Wisconsin DOT 2010. Wisconsin Department of Transportation Bridge Manual Wisconsin Department of Transportation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Chapter 11

- Andersland, O.B. and Ladanyi, B., 2003. Frozen ground engineering. John Wiley & Sons, NY.
- ASTM D1586-11, 2011. Standard test method for standard penetration test (SPT) and split-barrel sampling of soils. ASTM International.
- ASTM Committee D-18 on Soil and Rock, 2006. Standard test methods for minimum index density and unit weight of soils and calculation of relative density. ASTM International.
- ASTM, 2000. ASTM D5778 (04.08). Test Method for Performing Electronic Friction Cone and Piezocone Penetration Testing of Soils. West Conshohocken, PA: American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Baker, W.H., Cording, E.J. and MacPherson, H.H., 1983. Compaction grouting to control ground movements during tunneling. Underground Space, Vol.7, Pergamon Press, (pp. 205-212).
- Bragg, R.A. and Andersland, O.B., 1981. Strain rate, temperature, and sample size effects on compression and tensile properties of frozen sand. Engineering Geology, 18(1-4), pp.35-46.
- Christ, M. and Kim, Y.C., 2009. Experimental study on the physical-mechanical properties of frozen silt. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 13(5), (pp.317-324).
- Donohoe, J.F., Corwin, A.B., Schmall, P.C. and Maishman, D., 2001. Ground freezing for Boston Central Artery contract section C 09 A 4, jacking of tunnel boxes. In 2001 Rapid Excavation and Tunneling Conference (pp. 337-344).
- El-Kelesh, A.M., Mossaad, M.E. and Basha, I.M., 2001. Model of compaction grouting. Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering, 127(11), pp.955-964.
- Elliott, R.J., Clarke, L., Gohl, B., Fulop, E., Singh, N.K., Berger, K.C. and Huber, F., 2009, February. Explosive compaction of foundation soils for the seismic upgrade of the Seymour Falls Dam. In Proc., The 35th Annual Conference on Explosives and Blasting Technique.
- Essler, R.D., Drooff, E.R. and Falk, E., 2000. Compensation grouting: concept, theory and practice. In Advances in grouting and ground modification (pp. 1-15).

- Finnickey, J.C. and Pensive, N.A., 2017. Blasting induced migraine headaches, *Journal of Irreproducible Results*, 88(9), pp. 1122-1129.
- Finno, R.J., Gallant, AP y Sabatini, PJ (2016). Evaluating Ground Improvement after Blast Densification: Performance at the Oakridge Landfill. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 142(1), pp.10-1061.
- Fordham, C.J., McRoberts, E.C., Purcell, B.C. and McLaughlin, P.D., 1991. Practical and theoretical problems associated with blast densification of loose sands. In *Proceedings of the 44th Canadian Geotechnical Conference (Vol. 2, pp. 92-1)*.
- Gohl, W.B., Howie, J.A. and Rea, C.E., 2001. Use of controlled detonation of explosives for liquefaction testing. *Proceedings Fourth International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics*, Missouri University of Science and Technology, paper 9-13, p. 1-9.
- Hachey, J.E., Plum, R.L., Byrne, R.J., Kilian, A.P. and Jenkins, D.V., 1994. Blast Densification of a Thick, Loose Debris Flow at Mt. St. Helen's., Washington. In *Vertical and Horizontal Deformations of Foundations and Embankments (pp. 502-512)*. ASCE.
- Harris, J.S., 1995. *Ground freezing in practice*. Thomas Telford, London.
- Hausmann, M. 1990. *Engineering principles of Ground Modification*. McGraw-Hill Publications.
- Ivanov, P.L., 1967. *Compaction of noncohesive soils by explosions (translated from Russian)*. National Technical Information Service Report No. TT70-57221. US Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA, 211.
- Jessberger, H.L. 1987. Artificial freezing of ground for construction purposes, Chapter 31 in Bell, F.G. and Bell, F.G. eds., *Ground engineer's reference book (Vol. 59)*. London: Butterworths.
- Jessberger, H.L. ed., 2012. *Ground freezing (Vol. 26)*. Elsevier.
- Jin, L. and Shi, F., 1999. Blasting techniques for underwater soft clay improvement. *China Harbour Engineering*, (2), pp.10-17.
- Konrad, J.M., 2002. Prediction of freezing-induced movements for an underground construction project in Japan. *Canadian geotechnical journal*, 39(6), pp.1231-1242.
- Konrad, J.M., 2008. Freezing-induced water migration in compacted base-course materials. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 45(7), pp.895-909.
- Krahn, J., 2004. Thermal modeling with TEMP/W: an engineering methodology. *GEO-SLOPE*.
- Lacasse, S., 2013. 8th Terzaghi Oration Protecting society from landslides—the role of the geotechnical engineer. In *Proceedings of the 18th international conference on soil mechanics and geotechnical engineering*, Paris (pp. 15-34).
- Lyman, A.K.B., 1941. Compaction of cohesionless foundation soils by explosives. In *Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers Vol. 67, No. 5, pp. 769-780*. ASCE.
- McRoberts, E.C. and Morgenstern, N.R., 1975. Pore water expulsion during freezing. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 12(1), pp.130-141.
- Miller, E.A. and Roycroft, G.A., 2004. Compaction grouting test program for liquefaction control. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(4), pp.355-361.
- Modoni, G., Croce, P. and Mongiovi, L., 2006. Theoretical modelling of jet grouting. *Géotechnique*, 56(5), pp.335-347.
- Murray, L., Singh, N.K., Huber, F., Siu, D. and District, G.V.R., 2006, July. Explosive compaction for the Seymour Falls dam seismic upgrade. In *Proceedings on the 59th Canadian geotechnical conference*.
- NAIS, 2005. National Standards Authority of Ireland. Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures – Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings.

- Nelson, S.M. and Reed, G., 2007. Effects of Jet Grouting on Wetland Invertebrates at Mormon Island Auxiliary Dam, Folsom, California. US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Technical Service Center, Washington, DC.
- Perkins, S.W. and Harris, J., 2003. Using the Grouting Intensity Number (GIN) to Assess Compaction Grouting Performance. In *Grouting and ground treatment* (pp. 991-1009).
- Pimentel, E., Papakonstantinou, S. and Anagnostou, G., 2011. Case studies of artificial ground freezing simulations for urban tunnels. *Proc. WTC 2011 Underground Spaces in the service of sustainable society*.
- Powers, J.P., Corwin, A.B., Schmall, P.C. and Kaeck, W.E., 2007. *Construction dewatering and groundwater control: new methods and applications*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Sayles, F.N. and Iskandar, I.K., 1998. Ground freezing for containment of hazardous waste (No. DOE/OR/22141-T3; CONF-9508244-). Corps of Engineers, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Lab., Hanover, NH (United States).
- Sanger, F.J. and Sayles, F.H., 1979. Thermal and rheological computations for artificially frozen ground construction. *Engineering geology*, 13(1-4), pp.311-337.
- Schmall, P.C. and Braun, B., 2007. Ground freezing—a viable and versatile construction technique. In *Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Cold Regions Engineering*, Orono, ME (pp. 29-40).
- Schmall, P.C., Corwin, A.B., & Spiteri, L.P., 2007., “Ground freezing under the most adverse conditions: moving groundwater”, *Proc. Rapid Excavation & Tunneling Conf.*, eds. Traylor, M.T. & Townsend, J.W., Littleton CO: Soc. Mining, Metallurgy & Exploration, pp. 360-368.
- Schmall, P., Curry, A., Perrone, F., Rice, J., 2015 *Compensation Grouting for the East Side Access Northern Boulevard Crossing*. *Proceedings from the 2015 Rapid Excavation and Tunneling Conference*.
- Schmall, P. and Dawson, A., 2017. Ground-freezing experience on the east side access Northern Boulevard crossing, New York. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Ground Improvement*, 170(3), pp.159-172.
- Shakeran, M., Eslami, A. and Ahmadpour, M., 2016. Geotechnical aspects of explosive compaction. *Shock and vibration*, 2016.
- Stoss, K., 1976. *Die Anwendbarkeit der Bodenvereisung zur Sicherung und Abdichtung von Baugruben*. Gesellschaft für Technik und Wirtschaft, Dortmund.
- Tinoco, J., Correia, A.G. and Cortez, P., 2009. December. A data mining approach for jet grouting uniaxial compressive strength prediction. In *2009 World Congress on Nature & Biologically Inspired Computing (NaBIC)* (pp. 553-558). IEEE.
- Tokimatsu, K. and Seed, H.B., 1987. Evaluation of settlements in sands due to earthquake shaking. *Journal of geotechnical engineering*, 113(8), pp.861-878.
- Van Court, W.A.N. and Mitchell, J.K., 1995. New insights into explosive compaction of loose, saturated, cohesionless soils. *Geotechnical Special Publication*, pp.51-65.
- Vega-Posada, C.A., Zapata-Medina, D.G. and García Aristizabal, E.F., 2014. Ground surface settlement of loose sands densified with explosives. *Revista Facultad de Ingeniería Universidad de Antioquia*, (70), pp.9-17.
- Warner, J., 1982, February. Compaction grouting—the first thirty years. In *Grouting in Geotechnical Engineering* (pp. 694-707). ASCE.
- Wild, P.A., 1961. Tower foundations compacted with explosives. *Electrical World*, 66, pp.36-38.
- Wilder, D., Smith, G.C. and Gómez, J., 2005. Issues in design and evaluation of compaction grouting for foundation repair. In *Innovations in Grouting and Soil Improvement* (pp. 1-12).

Yan, S.W., Chu, J., 2005. Use of explosion in soil improvement projects, in Volume 3, Chapter 38 of Ground Improvement and Case Histories, Elsevier Geo-Engineering Book Series, Indraratna, B., Chu, J., eds., p1085-1096

Zhu, B., Chen, R-P, Chen, Y-M 2003. Transient response of piles-bridge under horizontal excitation. *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE A*, 4(1), pp.28-34.

Chapter 12

Al Qabany, A., Soga, K. and Santamarina, C., 2012. Factors affecting efficiency of microbially induced calcite precipitation. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 138(8), pp.992-1001.

American Society of Civil Engineers, 1968. Specialty Conference on Placement and Improvement of Soil to Support Structures, ASCE Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Cambridge, Massachusetts, August 26-28, 440 pp.

Arulrajah, A., Disfani, M.M., Maghoolpilehrood, F., Horpibulsuk, S., Udonchai, A., Imteaz, M. and Du, Y.J., 2015. Engineering and environmental properties of foamed recycled glass as a lightweight engineering material. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 94, pp.369-375.

Berechman, J., 2003. Transportation—economic aspects of Roman highway development: the case of Via Appia. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 37(5), pp.453-478.

Bosscher, P.J., Edil, T.B. and Kuraoka, S., 1997. Design of highway embankments using tire chips. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 123(4), pp.295-304.

Bouazza, A., Gates, W.P. and Ranjith, P.G., 2009. Hydraulic conductivity of biopolymer-treated silty sand. *Géotechnique*, 59(1), pp.71-72.

Bonala, M.V. and Reddi, L.N., 1998. Physicochemical and biological mechanisms of soil clogging: an overview. In *Filtration and drainage in geotechnical/geoenvironmental engineering* (pp. 43-68). ASCE.

Cetin, H., Fener, M. and Gunaydin, O., 2006. Geotechnical properties of tire-cohesive clayey soil mixtures as a fill material. *Engineering geology*, 88(1-2), pp.110-120.

DeJong, J.T., Mortensen, B.M., Martinez, B.C. and Nelson, D.C., 2010. Bio-mediated soil improvement. *Ecological Engineering*, 36(2), pp.197-210.

Al Qabany, A. and Soga, K., 2014. Effect of chemical treatment used in MICP on engineering properties of cemented soils. In *Bio-and Chemo-Mechanical Processes in Geotechnical Engineering: Géotechnique Symposium in Print 2013* (pp. 107-115). ICE Publishing.

Al Qabany, A., Soga, K. and Santamarina, C., 2012. Factors affecting efficiency of microbially induced calcite precipitation. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 138(8), pp.992-1001.

Al-Tabbaa, A., O'Connor, D. and Abunada, Z., 2014. Field trials for deep mixing in land remediation: execution, monitoring, QC and lessons learnt. In *International Conference on Piling & Deep Foundations*, Stockholm, Sweden.

Bonala, M. V. S., Reddi, L. N. 1998, Physicochemical and biological mechanisms of soil clogging: an overview. *ASCE Geotech Spec Publ* 78:43–68

Bruce, D.A., 2016. Remedial Cutoff Walls for Dams: Great Leaps and Wolf Creek. *Proceedings of the DFI International Conference on Deep Foundations, Seepage Control and Remediation*, NY, NY.

Bruce, D.A., Dreese, T.L., Harris, M.C. and Heenan, D.M., 2012. Composite cut-off walls for existing dams: Theory and practice. In *Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012* (pp. 1248-1264).

Cao, W., Cudney, H.H. and Waser, R., 1999. Smart materials and structures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 96(15), pp.8330-8331.

- Cermak, J., Evans, J. and Tamaro, G.J., 2012. Evaluation of Soil-Cement-Bentonite Wall Performance-Effects of Backfill Shrinkage. In *Grouting and Deep Mixing 2012* (pp. 502-511).
- Day, S.R. and Ryan, C.R., 1992. State of the art in bio-polymer drain construction. In *Slurry Walls: Design, Construction, and Quality Control*. ASTM International.
- Dawoud, O., Chen, C.Y. and Soga, K., 2014. Microbial-induced calcite precipitation (MICP) using surfactants. In *Geo-Congress 2014: Geo-characterization and Modeling for Sustainability* (pp. 1635-1643).
- DeJong, J. T., Fritzges, M. B., and Nüslein, K. (2006). "Microbially Induced Cementation to Control Sand Response to Undrained Shear." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 132(11): 1381-1392.
- DeJong, J.T., Soga, K., Kavazanjian, E., Burns, S., Van Paassen, L.A., Al Qabany, A., Aydilek, A., Bang, S.S., Burbank, M., Caslake, L.F. and Chen, C.Y., 2014. Biogeochemical processes and geotechnical applications: progress, opportunities and challenges. In *Bio-and Chemo-Mechanical Processes in Geotechnical Engineering: Géotechnique Symposium in Print 2013* (pp. 143-157). Ice Publishing.
- DiGioia, A.M. and Nuzzo, W.L., 1972. Fly ash as structural fill. *Journal of the Power Division*, 98(1), pp.77-92.
- Gray, D.H. and Lin, Y.K., 1972. Engineering properties of compacted fly ash. *Journal of Soil Mechanics & Foundations Div*, 98(sm4).
- Evans, J.C. and Jefferis, S.A., 2014. Volume change characteristics of cutoff wall materials. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Congress on Environmental Geotechnics* (pp. 10-14).
- Hanford, R.W. and Day, S.R., 1988. Installation of a deep drainage trench by the bio-polymer slurry drain technique. In *IN: Proceedings of the Second National Outdoor Action Conference on Aquifer Restoration, Ground Water Monitoring and Geophysical Methods*. (Vol. 3).
- Humphrey, D.N., Katz, L.E. and Blumenthal, M., 1997. Water quality effects of tire chip fills placed above the groundwater table. In *Testing soil mixed with waste or recycled materials*. ASTM International.
- Ivanov, V. and Chu, J., 2008. Applications of microorganisms to geotechnical engineering for bioclogging and biocementation of soil in situ. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 7(2), pp.139-153.
- Jairaj, V. and Wesley, L.D., 1995. Construction of a chimney drain using bio-polymer slurry at Hays Creek dam. In *IPENZ Annual Conference 1995, Proceedings of: Innovative technology; Volume 1; Papers presented in the technical programme of the IPENZ Annual Conference held in Palmerston North, February 10-14, 1995* (p. 229). Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand.
- Jiang, N.J., Soga, K. and Dawoud, O., 2014. Experimental study of the mitigation of soil internal erosion by microbially induced calcite precipitation. In *Geo-Congress 2014: Geo-characterization and Modeling for Sustainability* (pp. 1586-1595).
- Jonkers, H.M., 2007. Self healing concrete: a biological approach. In *Self healing materials* (pp. 195-204). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Khatib, J.M., Shariff, S. and Negim, E.M., 2012. Effect of incorporating foamed glass on the flexural behaviour of reinforced concrete beams. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 19(1), pp.47-51.
- Lam, C. and Jefferis, S.A., 2018. *Polymer support fluids in civil engineering*. London, UK: Ice Publishing.
- Liu, H.S., Mead, J.L. and Stacer, R.G., 2000. Environmental effects of recycled rubber in light-fill applications. *Rubber Chemistry and Technology*, 73(3), pp.551-564.

- Li, V.C. and Yang, E.H., 2007. Self healing in concrete materials. In *Self healing materials* (pp. 161-193). Springer, Dordrecht.
- Loux, T.A., The New Lightweight Contender: Ultra-lightweight Foamed Glass Aggregate Finds the US Market. *Geo-Strata—Geo Institute of ASCE*, 22(5), p.30.
- Mair, R.J., Soga, K., Jin, Y., Parlikad, A.K. and Schooling, J.M., 2017, February. Transforming the Future of Infrastructure through Smarter Information: Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart Infrastructure and Construction, 27–29 June 2016. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Civil Engineering* (Vol. 170, No. 1, pp. 39-47).
- Martinez, B.C., DeJong, J.T., Ginn, T.R., Montoya, B.M., Barkouki, T.H., Hunt, C., Tanyu, B. and Major, D., 2013. Experimental optimization of microbial-induced carbonate precipitation for soil improvement. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 139(4), pp.587-598.
- Masad, E., Taha, R., Ho, C. and Papagiannakis, T., 1996. Engineering properties of tire/soil mixtures as a lightweight fill material. *Geotechnical testing journal*, 19(3), pp.297-304.
- Mateos, M. and Davidson, D.T., 1962. Lime and fly ash proportions in soil, lime and fly ash mixtures, and some aspects of soil lime stabilization. *Highway Research Board Bulletin*, (335).
- Mitchell, J.K. and Santamarina, J.C., 2005. Biological considerations in geotechnical engineering. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 131(10), pp.1222-1233.
- Marchiori, A., Li, Y. and Evans, J., 2019. Design and evaluation of IoT-enabled instrumentation for a soil-bentonite slurry trench cutoff wall. *Infrastructures*, 4(1), p.5.
- Montoya, B.M., DeJong, J.T., Boulanger, R.W., Wilson, D.W., Gerhard, R., Ganchenko, A. and Chou, J.C., 2012. Liquefaction mitigation using microbial induced calcite precipitation. In *GeoCongress 2012: State of the Art and Practice in Geotechnical Engineering* (pp. 1918-1927).
- Montoya, B.M., DeJong, J.T. and Boulanger, R.W., 2014. Dynamic response of liquefiable sand improved by microbial-induced calcite precipitation. In *Bio-and Chemo-Mechanical Processes in Geotechnical Engineering: Géotechnique Symposium in Print 2013* (pp. 125-135). ICE Publishing.
- O'Donnell, S.T. and Kavazanjian Jr, E., 2015. Stiffness and dilatancy improvements in uncemented sands treated through MICP. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 141(11), p.02815004.
- O'Donnell, S.T., Rittmann, B.E. and Kavazanjian Jr, E., 2017a. MIDP: Liquefaction mitigation via microbial denitrification as a two-stage process. I: Desaturation. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 143(12), p.04017094.
- O'Donnell, S.T., Kavazanjian Jr, E. and Rittmann, B.E., 2017b. MIDP: Liquefaction mitigation via microbial denitrification as a two-stage process. II: MICP. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 143(12), p.04017095.
- Peng, F.L., Dong, Y.H., Wang, H.L., Jia, J.W. and Li, Y.L., 2019. Remote-control technology performance for excavation with pneumatic caisson in soft ground. *Automation in Construction*, 105, p.102834.
- Raymon, S., 1961. Pulverized fuel ash as embankment material. *Proceedings of the institution of civil engineers*, 19(4), pp.515-536.
- Reddy, K.R., Chetri, J.K. and Kiser, K., 2018. Quantitative sustainability assessment of various remediation alternatives for contaminated lake sediments: case study. *Sustainability: The Journal of Record*, 11(6), pp.307-321.
- Schwamb, T., Soga, K., Mair, R.J., Elshafie, M.Z., Sutherden, R., Boquet, C. and Greenwood, J., 2014. Fibre optic monitoring of a deep circular excavation. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Geotechnical Engineering*, 167(2), pp.144-154.

- Sekizuka, R., Ito, M., Saiki, S., Yamazaki, Y. and Kurita, Y., 2020. System to Evaluate the Skill of Operating Hydraulic Excavators Using a Remote Controlled Excavator and Virtual Reality. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 6, p.142.
- Song, G., Sethi, V. and Li, H.N., 2006. Vibration control of civil structures using piezoceramic smart materials: A review. *Engineering Structures*, 28(11), pp.1513-1524.
- Stajano, F., Hoult, N., Wassell, I., Bennett, P., Middleton, C. and Soga, K., 2010. Smart bridges, smart tunnels: Transforming wireless sensor networks from research prototypes into robust engineering infrastructure. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 8(8), pp.872-888.
- Tallard, G.R., 1992. New trenching method using synthetic bio-polymers. In *Slurry Walls: Design, Construction, and Quality Control*. ASTM International.
- van Paassen, L.A., Ghose, R., van der Linden, T.J., van der Star, W.R. and van Loosdrecht, M.C., 2010. Quantifying biomediated ground improvement by ureolysis: large-scale biogROUT experiment. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 136(12), pp.1721-1728.
- Whiffin, V.S., Van Paassen, L.A. and Harkes, M.P., 2007. Microbial carbonate precipitation as a soil improvement technique. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 24(5), pp.417-423.
- Wilkin, R.T., Acree, S.D., Ross, R.R., Puls, R.W., Lee, T.R. and Woods, L.L., 2014. Fifteen-year assessment of a permeable reactive barrier for treatment of chromate and trichloroethylene in groundwater. *Science of the total environment*, 468, pp.186-194.
- Yang, Y.L., Reddy, K.R., Du, Y.J. and Fan, R.D., 2018. Sodium hexametaphosphate (SHMP)-amended calcium bentonite for slurry trench cutoff walls: workability and microstructure characteristics. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 55(4), pp.528-537.
- Yang, Z., Cheng, X. and Li, M., 2011. Engineering properties of MICP-bonded sandstones used for historical masonry building restoration. In *Geo-Frontiers 2011: Advances in Geotechnical Engineering* (pp. 4031-4040).
- Yegian, M.K., Eseller-Bayat, E., Alshawabkeh, A. and Ali, S., 2007. Induced-partial saturation for liquefaction mitigation: experimental investigation. *Journal of geotechnical and geoenvironmental engineering*, 133(4), pp.372-380.
- Zornberg, J.G., Cabral, A.R. and Viratjandr, C., 2004. Behaviour of tire shred sand mixtures. *Canadian geotechnical journal*, 41(2), pp.227-241.